

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
CRIMINAL MISCELLANEOUS No.73185 of 2025

Arising Out of PS. Case No.-98 Year-2025 Thana- DANAPUR District- Patna

Rohit Kumar @ Lalu, Son of Baleshwar Singh Rajput, R/o Village -
Narayanpur, P.S. - Narayanpur, Dist. - Bhojpur (Ara).

... .. Petitioner

Versus

The State of Bihar

... .. Opposite Party

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s : Mr. Hansraj, Advocate

For the Opposite Party/s : Mr. Prem Kumar Jha, APP

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE CHANDRA SHEKHAR JHA
ORAL ORDER

2 06-02-2026 Heard learned counsel appearing on behalf of
the petitioner and learned APP appearing on behalf of
the State.

2. The petitioner seeks bail in connection with
Danapur P.S. Case No. 98 of 2025 registered for the
offences under Sections 310 and 317 (3) of the
Bharatiya Nyaya Sanhita.

3. The petitioner is not named in the F.I.R. and
is in custody since 20.05.2025.

4. As per FIR five miscreants looted jewellery
from the shop of informant namely, M/s. Jiva Jewellery
Shop on gun point.

5. Learned counsel appearing on behalf of the
petitioner submitted that the name of petitioner
transpires in the present case on the basis of



confessional statement of co-accused Suraj Kumar, in furtherance of which, no incriminating material recovered/surfaced as to connect this petitioner with the present crime in question. It is also submitted that petitioner was not put on TIP as yet. It is pointed out that similarly situated co-accused persons from whose possession looted jewelry was recovered have already granted bail by one of the learned Co-ordinate Bench of this Court through Cr. Misc. No. 38130 of 2025 vide order dated 06.08.2025. While concluding the argument, it is submitted that, petitioner found involved in seven more criminal cases, where he is on bail in all cases and prima-facie implicated with the present case out of suspicion arising out of his criminal antecedents, where in maximum number of cases his name transpires on the basis of confessional statement as of the present case having otherwise no evidentiary value under law and moreover, investigation of this case is already completed, and as such, there is no chance of tampering with the evidence.

6. Learned APP appearing on behalf of the State opposes the prayer for bail.

7. In view of aforesaid factual submission and by taking note of fact as save and except suspicion



arising out of confessional statement of apprehended co-accused person namely Suraj Kumar, nothing *prima-facie* incriminating appears as to connect this petitioner with the present crime in question, coupled with the fact as petitioner remains in custody since 20.05.2025, accordingly, above named petitioner is directed to be released on bail in connection with Danapur P.S. Case No. 98 of 2025 on furnishing bail bond of Rs.10,000/- (Rupees Ten Thousand) with two sureties of the like amount each to the satisfaction of learned Additional Chief Judicial Magistrate-I, Danapur/concerned court, subject to the conditions as mentioned under Section 480(3) of the B.N.S.S.

(Chandra Shekhar Jha, J.)

Sanjeet/-

U		T	
---	--	---	--

