

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
CRIMINAL MISCELLANEOUS No.74956 of 2025

Arising Out of PS. Case No.-107 Year-2025 Thana- PARSa District- Saran

Vikas Kumar Singh @ Chhotan Singh @ Vikash Singh @ Vikash Kumar
Singh S/o Shiv Nath Singh Resident of Village - Bathui, P.S - Parsa, District -
Saran

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

The State of Bihar

... .. Opposite Party/s

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s : Mr. Adarsh Ranjan, Advocate

For the Opposite Party/s : Mr. Rajendra Singh Shastri, APP

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE ASHOK KUMAR PANDEY
ORAL ORDER

3 16-01-2026 Heard learned counsel for the petitioner and learned
APP for the State.

2. The petitioner has prayed for regular bail in a case
registered for the offence punishable under sections 80(2) and
3(5) of B.N.S.

3. The case of the prosecution is that Jaya Kumari
(deceased) was married to the petitioner on 13.03.2019. It is
further alleged she was subjected to cruelty on account of non-
fulfillment of dowry demand. On 21.03.2025, a telephonic
message was received on the mobile of informant's son that the
deceased has fallen down. After that, the information was given
to police on 112.

4. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that the



petitioner is innocent and has committed no offence. Learned counsel for the petitioner has submitted that from perusal of the postmoretem report, it will transpire that the board conducting the autopsy of the deceased has found only one antemortem injury i.e., ligature mark present over anterior aspect of upper neck prominent on anterior aspect. No any external injury was seen. This goes to show that the death was due to hanging. The board has also opined that the cause of death is due to asphyxia due to hanging. Learned counsel for the petitioner has further submitted that as there is no antemortem injury except the ligature mark, it is a case of hanging and the deceased has committed suicide. There is no role of the petitioner in the offence. A statement has been made in para-3 of this petition that the petitioner has got no criminal antecedent. Moreover, he is languishing in judicial custody since 22.04.2025.

5. Learned APP appearing for the State has vehemently opposed the prayer of regular bail.

6. Having heard learned counsel for the parties and considering the facts and circumstances of the case, this court is inclined to enlarge the petitioner on bail. The above named petitioner is directed to be released on bail in connection with Parsa P.S. Case No. 107 of 2025 on furnishing bail bond of



Rs.10,000/- (ten thousand) with two sureties of the like amount
each to the satisfaction of learned Additional Chief Judicial
Magistrate-XI, Saran at Chapra.

(Ashok Kumar Pandey, J)

Shubham/-

U		T	
---	--	---	--

