IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
CRIMINAL MISCELLANEOUS No.74053 of 2026

CNR No. BRHC011069542026

Arising Out of PS. Case No.-291 Year-2026 Thana- JHAJHA District- Jamui

==========

1. Dilip Yadav Son of Late Chhotan Yadav @ Chotan Yadav Resident of Village - Khairan, P.S.- Jhajha, District - Jamui.
2. Thakuri Yadav Son of Late Chhotan Yadav @ Chotan Yadav Resident of Village - Khairan, P.S.- Jhajha, District - Jamui.
3. Sachin Yadav @ Sachin Kumar Yadav Son of Doman Yadav Resident of Village - Khairan, P.S.- Jhajha, District - Jamui.
4. Nisha Devi @ Nisha Kumari Wife of Sachin Yadav Resident of Village - Khairan, P.S.- Jhajha, District - Jamui.
5. Manju Devi Wife of Doman Yadav Resident of Village - Khairan, P.S.- Jhajha, District - Jamui.

... ... Petitioner/s

Versus

The State of Bihar

... ... Opposite Party/s

==========

Appearance :
For the Petitioner/s : Mr. Satya Prakash Parasar, Advocate
For the Opposite Party/s : Mr. Abhay Kumar, APP

==========

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE ASHOK KUMAR PANDEY

ORAL ORDER

2 04-11-2026 Heard learned counsel for the petitioners and learned APP for the State.

2. Learned counsel for the petitioners has submitted that petitioner no. 2, namely, Thakuri Yadav has been apprehended in this case, as such, he may be permitted to withdraw this bail application with respect to **petitioner no. 2, namely, Thakuri Yadav.**

3. Permission is accorded.

4. This application is dismissed as withdrawn with

WEB COPY

respect to **petitioner no. 2.**

5. The instant application for anticipatory bail has been filed by the petitioner nos.1, 3, 4 and 5 apprehending their arrest in connection with Jhajha P.S. Case No. 291 of 2026 instituted for the offence under Sections 190, 191(3), 126(2), 118(1), 324(4), 109(1), 303(2), 74 and 76 of the B.N.S.

6. The case of the prosecution, in short, is that the petitioners along with others have assaulted the husband of the informant. It is specifically alleged that Sadanand Yadav and Chatanki Yadav have assaulted the informant with knife and *katta*.

7. Learned counsel appearing on behalf of the petitioners has submitted that petitioners are innocent and have committed no offence. They have falsely been implicated in this case. They have got no criminal antecedent. Learned counsel for the petitioners has further submitted that there is also a counter version of this case. The nature of allegation against the petitioners is general and omnibus. The specific allegation is against Sadanand Yadav and Chatanki Yadav and they are not the petitioners.

8. Learned APP appearing for the State has vehemently opposed the prayer of bail of the petitioners.

WEB COPY

9. Having heard learned counsel for the parties and in the facts and circumstances of the case as stated above, this Court is inclined to enlarge the **petitioner nos. 1, 3, 4 and 5, namely, Dilip Yadav, Sachin Yadav @ Sachin Kumar Yadav, Nisha Devi @ Nisha Kumari and Manju Devi** on bail. The above-named petitioners are directed to surrender in the Court below within a period of four weeks from today and in the event of their arrest or surrender in connection with Jhajha P.S. Case No. 291 of 2026, they will be enlarged on bail on furnishing bail bond of Rs. 10,000/- (Rupees ten thousand) each with two sureties of the like amount each to the satisfaction of learned Chief Judicial Magistrate, Jamui, subject to the conditions as laid down under section 482 (2) of the B.N.S.S.

(Ashok Kumar Pandey, J)

lata/-

U		T	

WEB COPY