IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
CRIMINAL MISCELLANEOUS No.74645 of 2026

CNR No. BRHC011069532026

Arising Out of PS. Case No.-510 Year-2026 Thana- SASARAM NAGAR District- Rohtas

==========

1. Mohan Kumar @ Naman Kumar Singh S/o Parshuram Singh R/o Village - Kapasiya, PS. - Rajpur, District - Rohtas.
2. Raja Kumar @ Shubham Kumar S/o Ajit Kumar Singh @ Ajit Kumar R/o Village - Kapasiya, PS. - Rajpur, District - Rohtas.
3. Abhimanu Singh @ Abhi Singh S/o Umesh Singh R/o Village - Kapasiya, PS. - Rajpur, District - Rohtas.

... ... Petitioner/s

Versus

The State of Bihar

... ... Opposite Party/s

==========

Appearance :
For the Petitioner/s : Mr.Vinay Kumar Singh, Advocate.
For the Opposite Party/s : Dr. Kumar Uday Pratap, APP.

==========

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE PURNENDU SINGH

ORAL ORDER

2 04-11-2026 Heard learned counsel appearing on behalf of the petitioners and learned APP for the State.

2. The petitioners seek pre-arrest bail in connection with Sasaram Nagar P.S. Case No. 510 of 2026 registered for the offence punishable under Sections 189(2), 191(2), 190, 121(2), 132, 221, 115(2), 352 and 351(2) of the BNS.

3. As per the allegation made in the F.I.R., petitioner no. 2 allegedly fled away from the police station, whereupon the police vehicle followed him and found him lying in a waterlogged area behind Women's College, Rajpur. He was taken to the hospital for treatment, where he, along with the

WEB COPY

other petitioners, allegedly abused and assaulted the police personnel.

4. Learned counsel appearing on behalf of the petitioners submitted that the allegation against the petitioners is imaginary and the police has implicated them in a false case. No such incidence has occurred at the hospital where the petitioners were being treated and the allegation of misbehaving and assaulting the police personnel cannot sustain, apparently the accused persons were not in a condition to assault the police personnel. On these grounds, learned counsel seeks that the petitioners be released on pre-arrest bail.

5. Learned APP for the State has vehemently opposed the prayer for grant of pre-arrest bail to the petitioners.

6. Having heard the rival submissions made on behalf of the parties, as well as, having perused the allegation made in the F.I.R., I find that the petitioner no.2 has two criminal antecedents and in his respect I find that specific allegation against him is that he had misbehaved with the police personnel and had also ran away from the police station, I am not inclined to enlarged him pre-arrest bail.

7. So far as petitioner nos. 1 and 3 are concerned, in view of general and omnibus allegation against them, the

WEB COPY

petitioner nos. 1 and 3 are directed to be released on pre-arrest bail, in the event of their arrest or surrender before the Court below within a period of four weeks from today, on furnishing bail bond of Rs. 10,000/- (Ten thousand) each with two sureties of the like amount each to the satisfaction of learned District and Sessions Judge-10, Rohtas at Sasaram in connection with Sasaram Nagar P.S. Case No. 510 of 2026, subject to the condition as laid down under Section 438(2) Cr.P.C / 482(2) BNSS.

8. The District Court is directed to verify the criminal antecedent of the petitioners and if it is found that the petitioners are involved in some other cases as what has been stated in Para-3 of the bail petition, this order will automatically lose its force.

9. The bail application stands disposed of.

(Purnendu Singh, J)

mantreshwar/-

U		T	

WEB COPY