IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
CRIMINAL MISCELLANEOUS No.75265 of 2026

CNR No. BRHC011068922026

Arising Out of PS. Case No.-614 Year-2026 Thana- NAUBATPUR District- Patna

==========

1. Arvind Kumar S/o Ram Nandan Yadav Resident of Village- Dinachak, Korawan, P.S.- Naubatpur, District- Patna
2. Golu Kumar S/o Arun Yadav Resident of Village- Dinachak, Korawan, P.S.- Naubatpur, District- Patna
3. Dharmendra Kumar S/o Biteshwar Yadav @ Subhash Yadav Resident of Village- Dinachak, Korawan, P.S.- Naubatpur, District- Patna
4. Monu Kumar S/o Arun Yadav Resident of Village- Dinachak, Korawan, P.S.- Naubatpur, District- Patna
5. Santosh Kumar S/o Subhas Yadav Resident of Village- Dinachak, Korawan, P.S.- Naubatpur, District- Patna
6. Arun Yadav @ Arun Kumar S/o Ramanandan Yadav Resident of Village- Dinachak, Korawan, P.S.- Naubatpur, District- Patna
7. Subhash Yadav @ Subash Yadav S/o Late Ram Swaroop Yadav Resident of Village- Dinachak, Korawan, P.S.- Naubatpur, District- Patna
8. Rajesh Kumar S/o Munshi Yadav Resident of Village- Dinachak, Korawan, P.S.- Naubatpur, District- Patna

... ... Petitioner/s

Versus

The State of Bihar

... ... Opposite Party/s

==========

Appearance :
For the Petitioner/s : Mr. Dhananjay Kumar Pandey, Adv.
For the State : Mr. Zainul Abedin, APP
For the Informant : Mr. Ravi Shanker Pankaj, Adv.

==========

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE HARISH KUMAR

ORAL ORDER

2 04-11-2026 Heard the learned Advocate for the petitioners, learned Advocate for the informant and the learned Additional Public Prosecutor for the State.

2. The petitioners are apprehending their arrest in connection with Naubatpur P.S. Case No. 614 of 2026,

WEB COPY

registered for the offences punishable under Sections 109(1), 191(2), 191(3), 126(2) and 115(2) of the B.N.S., 2023.

3. The allegation against the petitioners is that they forcibly entered the house of the informant and brutally assaulted her and her family members, as a result of which the informant and others sustained serious injuries and were taken to the hospital for treatment.

4. Learned Advocate for the petitioners, referring to the FIR, primarily contended that the FIR has been instituted against 12 named accused persons, with omnibus allegations of assault by means of bricks, stones, *lathi* and *danda*. Moreover, the alleged occurrence took place in the night of 12.08.2026, whereas the present FIR came to be instituted on 14.08.2026. So far as the injuries allegedly sustained by the informant and others are concerned, all of them have been found to be simple in nature, except one injury sustained by one Bijendra Yadav, the nature of which has been kept reserved till date. The genesis of the occurrence is stated to be a trivial dispute, which resulted into a free scuffle between the parties, leading to the institution of a case and counter-case, being Naubatpur P.S. Case No. 646 of 2026. The petitioners are having fair antecedents and they undertake that they will fully cooperate in the proceedings of the

WEB COPY

Court.

5. On the other hand, learned Advocate for the State as well as learned Advocate for the informant opposed the prayer for anticipatory bail and submitted that the petitioners have actively participated in the occurrence and caused serious injuries to three persons. So far as the delay in lodging the FIR is concerned, it is submitted that the same occurred as the injured persons were taken to the hospital for treatment.

6. Considering the rival submissions advanced by learned Advocates for the respective parties and taking note of the omnibus nature of the allegations, coupled with the existence of a case and counter-case, the genesis of the occurrence and the fact that all the injured persons have sustained simple injuries, except Bijendra Yadav, whose injury has been kept reserved as to its nature till date, but has not been specifically attributed to the petitioners; besides the fact that the petitioners are having fair antecedents, let the petitioners above named be released on bail, in the event of their arrest or surrender before the court below within a period of four weeks from the date of receipt/production of a copy of this order, upon furnishing bail bonds of Rs.10,000/- (ten thousand) **each** with two sureties of the like amount each to the satisfaction of the

WEB COPY

learned ACJM VI, Danapur in connection with Naubatpur P.S. Case No. 614 of 2026, subject to the conditions laid down in Section 482(2) of the Bharatiya Nagrik Suraksha Sanhita, 2023 with the further condition that one of the bailors shall be the own/close family members of the petitioners.

(Harish Kumar, J)

shivank/-

U		T	

WEB COPY