

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
CRIMINAL MISCELLANEOUS No.72855 of 2025

Arising Out of PS. Case No.-94 Year-2024 Thana- INDUSTRIAL AREA District- Vaishali

Munna Kumar S/O Pramod Paswan Village Sultanpur, P.S. Industrial Area
Hajipur, District Vaishali

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

The State of Bihar

... .. Opposite Party/s

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s : Mr. Vasant Vikas, Advocate

For the Opposite Party/s : Mr. Prem Kumar Jha, APP

**CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE RUDRA PRAKASH
MISHRA**

ORAL ORDER

3 13-01-2026 Heard learned counsel for the petitioner and learned
APP for the State.

2. The petitioner seeks bail in connection with Industrial Area Hajipur P.S. Case No. 94 of 2024 instituted for the offences under Section 105 of the Bharatiya Nyaya Sanhita, 2023 and Section 9 of the Bihar Prohibition and use of Loud Speaker Act.

3. Prosecution case, in short, is that due to alleged negligence of the petitioner in fixing tall bamboo poles on a D.J. vehicle, the trolley came in contact with an 11,000-volt high-tension wire, causing the death of nine persons and injuries to others.

4. Learned counsel for the petitioner submitted that the



petitioner has falsely been implicated in the present case. Learned counsel for the petitioner submitted that general and omnibus allegation has been made against the petitioner. No specific overt act is alleged against the petitioner. Learned counsel further submitted that petitioner is being dragged in this case merely because he is the owner of the D.J. music system and he was not present at the place of occurrence. It has been submitted on behalf of the petitioner that the petitioner is in custody since 12.08.2025 and has no criminal antecedent.

5. Learned A.P.P. for the State has vehemently opposed the prayer for grant of bail and submitted that the offence is extremely serious in nature, resulting in the death of nine innocent persons and injuries to several others due to electrocution. It is submitted that the incident occurred on account of gross negligence in fixing tall bamboo poles on the D.J. vehicle, which came in contact with an 11,000-volt high-tension electric wire. Learned A.P.P. further submitted that the petitioner, being the owner of the D.J. vehicle, was responsible for ensuring safety and cannot escape liability at this stage. Considering the magnitude of the incident, gravity of the offence, and the loss of multiple lives, the petitioner does not deserve the privilege of bail at this stage.



6. Considering the aforesaid facts and circumstances of the case as also the nature and gravity of offence, this Court is not inclined to grant bail to the petitioner at this stage.

7. Accordingly, the prayer for grant of bail to the petitioner is, hereby, rejected.

8. Learned Trial Court is directed to expedite the trial.

9. However, liberty is granted to the petitioner to renew the prayer for grant of bail before the Trial Court if the trial is not concluded within a period of five months from today.

(Rudra Prakash Mishra, J)

Alok Verma/-

U		T	
---	--	---	--

