

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
Civil Writ Jurisdiction Case No.21811 of 2019

Kiran Kumari, Wife of Shashi Ranjan Kumar, resident of Village Balabigha,
P.S. Vandaya, District- Aurangabad.

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

1. The State of Bihar through Principal Secretary Food and Civil Supply Department, Government of Bihar, Patna.
2. The Commissioner Magadh Division, Gaya.
3. The District Magistrate Aurangabad.
4. The District Supply Officer, Aurangabad.
5. The Sub-Divisional Officer, Daudnagar Sub Division, District- Aurangabad.
6. The Sub Divisional Officer Aurangabad, District- Aurangabad.
7. The District Co-operative Officer, Aurangabad.
8. The District Welfare Officer, Aurangabad.
9. The Executive Engineer, Public Health Engineering Department, Aurangabad.
10. Deepika Kumari, Wife of Pankaj Kumar, resident of Village Suggi, P.S. Bandaya, District- Aurangabad.

... .. Respondent/s

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s : Mr.Purushotam Sharma, Adv.
For the Respondent/s : Mr.Arvind Ujjwal (SC-4)

CORAM: HONOURABLE JUSTICE SMT. G. ANUPAMA CHAKRAVARTHY
ORAL ORDER

2 24-06-2026 **1.** The Writ petition has been filed for the following reliefs:-

i. For issuance of appropriate writ for quashing Memo No. 4410 dated 18.09.2019 issued by the Special Work Officer department of Food and Civil Supplies, Government of Bihar, Patna.

ii. For issuance of appropriate quashing of the Memo No. 726 dated 28.08.2019 issued by



*the Commissioner, Magadh Division,
Magadh Division, Gaya.*

*iii. For directing the
respondents to give work order to
the petitioner, as the Distributor
Licence No. 13/09/2019 has been
issued to the petitioner by
completing all legal formalities by
the appropriate authority.*

2. Heard the Learned counsel for the petitioner as well as the Learned counsel for the respondents/State.

3. During the course of arguments, the Learned counsel for the State- Shree Upendra Pratap Singh reported to the Court that the advertisement which was challenged has already been cancelled pursuant to the order of this Court. Therefore, the Department is require to issue a fresh advertisement for selection, and all PDS licences granted pursuant to the earlier advertisement are liable to be cancelled. Therefore, the Writ petition itself has become infructuous.

4. In view of the aforesaid submission,



nothing remains in the Writ petition for further adjudication. Accordingly, the Writ petition is dismissed as infructuous.

(G. Anupama Chakravarthy, J)

Manish/-

U			
---	--	--	--

