

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
CRIMINAL MISCELLANEOUS No.73116 of 2025

Arising Out of PS. Case No.-451 Year-2023 Thana- SURSAND District- Sitamarhi

Vinod Yadav, S/o Late Ram Daresh Ray @ Ramdarash Ray, Resident of
Village- Maruki, P.S.- Sursand, Dist.- Sitamarhi

... .. Petitioner

Versus

The State of Bihar

... .. Opposite Party

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s	:	Mr. Ashok Kumar Jha, Advocate
For the Opposite Party/s	:	Mr. Syed Ehteshamuddin, APP
For the Informant	:	Mr. Santosh Kumar, Advocate
		Mr. Mobassar Ali, Advocate

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE CHANDRA SHEKHAR JHA
ORAL ORDER

2 05-02-2026 Heard learned counsel for the petitioner and learned

Additional Public Prosecutor for the State duly assisted by

learned counsel appearing for the informant.

2. The accused/petitioner seeks bail in connection

with Sursnd P.S. Case No.451 of 2023 registered for the

offence punishable under Sections 302, 363, 201 and 120-B

read with 34 of the Indian Penal Code.

3. The accused/petitioner is named in the FIR and

is in custody since 22.04.2025.

4. Allegation against petitioner is to call the son of

informant along with six named co-accused persons

whereafter, the son of informant was found missing and



finally, his dead body was recovered after two days.

5. It is submitted by learned counsel appearing for petitioner that after investigation, the police submitted closure report *qua* petitioner and one other co-accused person, as no incriminating material surfaced during investigation, in furtherance of allegation as raised against him through FIR. It is submitted that merely as petitioner was named with FIR and he was found in company of his son along with other co-accused person on last occasion, cognizance was taken by learned Jurisdictional Magistrate. In this context, it is also submitted that the dead body of deceased son of informant was recovered on the basis of confessional statement of co-accused namely, Jilajeet, Chandan and Rahul, which further suggest non-involvement of this petitioner and was also one of the reason that the police submitted closure report against him. While concluding argument, it is submitted that the petitioner is a man of clean antecedent.

6. Learned APP duly assisted by learned counsel appearing for the informant while opposing the prayer of bail submitted that this petitioner was the person, who was



involved in calling the son of the informant, who found dead subsequently. However, he could not dispute the factual submission that police submitted final form against petitioner.

7. In view of above-mentioned facts and circumstances and by taking note of fact as police after investigation submitted final form against petitioner, coupled with the fact that implication appears on the basis of “last seen” suspicion, accordingly, the petitioner, above-named, who is in custody since 22.04.2025, is directed to be released on bail furnishing bail bond of Rs.10,000/- (Rupees Ten Thousand) with two sureties of the like amount each to the satisfaction of the learned S.D.J.M., Pupri at Sitamarhi in connection with Sursand P.S. Case No.451 of 2023, subject to the conditions as laid down under Section 437(3) of the CrPC/under Section 480(3) of BNSS.

(Chandra Shekhar Jha, J.)

Sanjeet/-

U		T	
---	--	---	--

