IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
CRIMINAL MISCELLANEOUS No.75419 of 2026

CNR No. BRHC011066752026

Arising Out of PS. Case No.-372 Year-2026 Thana- DINARA District- Rohtas

==========

1. Soniya Devi S/o Ajay Saran Paswan @ Ajay Shrawan Paswan R/o Village - Basudev Dihri, P.S.-Dinara, District-Rohtas.
2. Ajay Saran Paswan @ Ajay Shrawan Paswan S/o Late Jagmohan Paswan R/o Village - Basudev Dihri, P.S.-Dinara, District-Rohtas.

... ... Petitioner/s

Versus

The State of Bihar

... ... Opposite Party/s

==========

Appearance :
For the Petitioner/s : Mr. Nagendra Upadhyay, Advocate
For the Opposite Party/s : Mr. Umeshanand Pandit, APP

==========

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE ARUN KUMAR JHA

ORAL ORDER

2 28-10-2026 Heard learned counsel for the petitioners and learned APP for the State.

02. In the present case, the petitioners seek bail in connection with Dinara P.S. Case No. 372 of 2026 registered for the alleged offences under Sections 126(2), 115(2), 109 r/w 3(5) of Bharatiya Nyaya Sanhita, 2023.

03. As per prosecution case, petitioners and other co-accused persons assaulted the informant, his son and his daughter-in-law over some petty dispute, causing a number of injuries to them.

04. Learned counsel for the petitioners submits that the petitioners are innocent and have been falsely implicated in

WEB COPY

this case. The allegation against the petitioner, Ajay Saran Paswan, is that he gave a lathi blow on the head of the informant, and a corresponding injury has been found but the injuries on the informant, including one on the head, are simple injuries. The injuries of the son and daughter-in-law of the informant are merely complaint of pain and abrasion and the injuries are simple in nature. The allegation of causing injury to the son and the daughter-in-law of the informant is against petitioner-Soniya Devi and other co-accused persons. Learned counsel further submits that it appears some scuffle took place between the parties and there was no intention to cause death to any person and hence, no offence under Section 109 is made out against the petitioners. Other offences are bailable in nature. The parties are agnates and are having land dispute. Learned counsel further submits that the petitioners have got clean antecedent and are in custody since 22.08.2026.

05. Learned APP for the State opposes the submission made on behalf of the petitioners.

06. Having regard to the facts and circumstances and submissions made on behalf of the parties and considering the background of dispute and also considering the non-serious nature of injuries of the victims and further considering the

WEB COPY

period of custody of the petitioners and their clean antecedent, the petitioners above named are directed to be released on bail on furnishing bail bonds of Rs. 10,000/- (ten thousand) each with two sureties of the like amount each to the satisfaction of learned Additional Chief Judicial Magistrate-I, Bikramganj, Rohtas/court concerned in connection with Dinara P.S. Case No. 372 of 2026, subject to the conditions mentioned in Section 480(3) of BNSS and the following conditions:

(i) One of the bailors will be a close relative of the petitioners.

(ii) The petitioners will remain present on each and every date fixed by the court below.

(iii) In case of absence on three consecutive dates or in violation of the terms of the bail, the bail bond of the petitioners will be liable to be cancelled by the court concerned.

(Arun Kumar Jha, J)

Ashish/-

U		T	

WEB COPY