

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
CRIMINAL MISCELLANEOUS No.73263 of 2025

Arising Out of PS. Case No.-315 Year-2024 Thana- RAGHOPUR District- Vaishali

Ranjit Rai S/o Nandu Rai R/o Village - Jafrabad, P.S - Rustampur, District -
Vaishali

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

The State of Bihar

... .. Opposite Party/s

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s : Ms.Soni Kumari

For the Opposite Party/s : Mr.Lalan Kumar

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE SATYAVRAT VERMA
ORAL ORDER

2 11-02-2026 1. Heard learned counsel for the petitioner and
learned A.P.P. for the State.

2. The petitioner apprehends his arrest in a case
registered for the offences punishable under Sections 103, 61(2)
and 3(5) of the Bharatiya Nyaya Sanhita.

3. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that
petitioner is a person with clean antecedent. It is next submitted
that in sum and substance the allegation in the FIR is that Bhullu
Rai was extending threat to the informant to marry him failing
which her son would be killed and on refusal of the informant to
marry Bhullu Rai, Bhullu Rai killed her three year old minor
son.

4. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that
petitioner is not named in the FIR and his name transpired in the



confessional statement of Bhullu Rai in police custody, which does not have any evidentiary value. It is next submitted that similarly situated co-accused Om Kumar had approached this Court seeking anticipatory bail by filing Cr. Misc No. 57935 of 2025 and the same came to be allowed by an order dated 27-8-2025 by a learned co-ordinate bench. The learned counsel thus based on parity seeks anticipatory bail.

5. Learned A.P.P. for the State opposes the prayer for anticipatory bail of the petitioner.

6. Considering the submissions made by the learned counsel for the petitioner, the petitioner above-named, in the event of his arrest or surrender within a period of six weeks from today, be released on **Provisional anticipatory bail** on furnishing bail bonds of Rs. 10,000/- (Rupees Ten Thousand) with two sureties of the like amount each to the satisfaction of the learned trial court where the case is pending/successor court in connection with Raghopur P.S. Case No. 315 of 2024 subject to the conditions as laid down under Section 482(2) of the BNSS.

7. It is made clear that thereafter the learned Trial Court shall verify the criminal antecedent of the petitioner and in the event if it is found that petitioner has antecedent of even one case then it would be presumed that petitioner, for the purposes of seeking anticipatory bail, had concealed his antecedent before this



Court, as such, the provisional anticipatory bail order shall not be confirmed, but after verification if it is found that petitioner is a person with clean antecedent in that event the provisional anticipatory bail order shall be confirmed forthwith.

(Satyavrat Verma, J)

Sumit/-

U		T	
---	--	---	--

