

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
CRIMINAL MISCELLANEOUS No.72446 of 2025

Arising Out of PS. Case No.-248 Year-2025 Thana- SAUR BAZAR District- Saharsa

Vijay Yadav @ Vijay Kumar Son of Kripali Yadav Village- Kanp P.S- Saur
Bazar District- Saharsa

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

The State of Bihar

... .. Opposite Party/s

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s : Mr.Manoj Kumar Pandey
For the Opposite Party/s : Mr.Aditya Narayan Singh.1

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE SATYAVRAT VERMA
ORAL ORDER

4 04-02-2026 1. Heard learned counsel for the petitioner and
learned A.P.P. for the State.

2. The petitioner apprehends his arrest in a case
registered for the offences punishable under Sections 126(2),
115(2), 109, 303(2), 352, 351(2), and 3(5) of the Bharatiya
Nyaya Sanhita.

3. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that
petitioner is a person with clean antecedent and the informant
alleges that his father had gone to his field and saw his boundary
demolished, on query, Vijay (petitioner) started abusing, on
alarm informant also reached the place of occurrence, when
Vinod assaulted Kunal by an iron rod causing injury on head,
thereafter Vijay and Saket assaulted him, his father and brother
by lathi causing injury on body and accused snatched chain



worth Rs. 1,50,000/- from Kunal.

4. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that petitioner has been falsely implicated in the instant case by the informant. It is next submitted that petitioner and the informant are agnates and are having dispute relating to property and from perusal of the allegation as alleged in the FIR, it would manifest that specific allegation of assaulting Kunal is against Vinod and the injury of Kunal has been opined to be grievous in nature and as far as the petitioner is concerned, the allegation of assault against him is general and omnibus in nature as it is alleged that petitioner along with Saket assaulted Kunal, informant and his father by lathi all over the body.

5. Learned A.P.P. for the State opposes the prayer for anticipatory bail of the petitioner.

6. Considering the submissions made by the learned counsel for the petitioner, the petitioner above-named, in the event of his arrest or surrender before the learned trial court within a period of six weeks from today, be released on anticipatory bail on furnishing bail bonds of Rs. 10,000/- (Rupees Ten Thousand) with two sureties of the like amount each to the satisfaction of the learned trial court where the case is pending/successor court in connection with Saur Bazar P.S.



Case No. 248 of 2025, subject to the conditions as laid down
under Section 482 (2) of the BNSS.

(Satyavrat Verma, J)

Sumit/-

U		T	
---	--	---	--

