**IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA**
**CRIMINAL MISCELLANEOUS No.75977 of 2026**

**CNR No. BRHC011058402026**

Arising Out of PS. Case No.-52 Year-2026 Thana- BANGAWON District- Saharsa

==========

1. Devan Sahni S/o Shyam Sahni R/o vill - Sundervan, ward no. 9, P.S.- Mahishi, Distt.- Saharsa
2. Pankaj Yadav @ Pankaj Kumar S/o Shivnandan Yadav R/o vill - Sundervan, ward no. 9, P.S.- Mahishi, Distt.- Saharsa
3. Bhavesh Mukhiya @ Bhavesh Kumar @ Bhawesh Kumar S/o Sikendar Mukhiya @ Sikandar Mukhiya R/o vill - Sundervan, ward no. 9, P.S.- Mahishi, Distt.- Saharsa
4. Garesh Mukhiya S/o Thakkan Mukhiya @ Dhakan Mukhiya R/o vill - Sundervan, ward no. 9, P.S.- Mahishi, Distt.- Saharsa

... ... Petitioner/s

Versus

The State of Bihar

... ... Opposite Party/s

==========

**Appearance :**
For the Petitioner/s : Mr.Amarnath Jha
For the Opposite Party/s : Mr.Mithlesh Kumar Khare

==========

**CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE RANJAN KUMAR JHA**

ORAL ORDER

2 28-10-2026 Heard learned counsel appearing on behalf of the petitioners and learned Additional Public Prosecutor appearing on behalf of the State.

2. The petitioners are apprehending their arrest in connection with Bangawon P.S. Case No. 52 of 2026 registered for the offences punishable under Sections 115(2), 126(2), 118(1), 74, 75(2), 109(1), 324(4), 331(2), 329(4), 303(2), 3(5) of BNS, 2023.

3. The allegation in the FIR is that on account of some

WEB COPY

dispute in the evening later in the night the FIR-named persons came to the house of the informant and assaulted him. The FIR further contends that Pankaj Yadav assaulted the informant whereas Premchand assaulted Pintu. Thereafter there are allegations of misbehaviour and snatching.

4. Learned counsel for the petitioners submits that the petitioners have falsely been implicated on account of some dispute in the evening between the son of the informant and one Ashutosh. He further submits that except for Pankaj Yadav and Devan Sahni there is a general and omnibus allegation against the other petitioners and the allegation against Devan Sahni (petitioner no. 1) and Pankaj Yadav (petitioner no. 2) is of assault upon the informant and Pintu Kumar whose injuries were found to be simple in nature. Further submission is that the petitioners are having clean antecedents and other co-accused persons including Ashutosh have already been granted anticipatory bail.

5. Learned APP for the State vehemently opposed the prayer of anticipatory bail.

6. Having considered the rival submissions of the parties and in particular the genesis of the occurrence, the nature of the injuries attributed to petitioner nos. 1 and 2 which are

WEB COPY

simple in nature, the general and omnibus allegations against petitioner nos. 3 and 4, the clean antecedents of the petitioners and co-accused have already been granted anticipatory bail, let above named petitioners, in the event of their arrest or surrender before the learned trial Court, within a period of four weeks of the order, are directed to be released on bail furnishing bail bond of Rs. 10,000/- (Rupees Ten Thousand) each with two sureties of the like amount each to the satisfaction of the learned ACJM II, Saharsa/concerned Court, where the case is pending in connection with Bangawon P.S. Case No. 52 of 2026 subject to the conditions as laid down under Section 482(2) of the BNSS.

**(Ranjan Kumar Jha, J)**

rishabh/-

| | | | |
|---|---|---|---|
| **U** | | T | |

WEB COPY