IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
CRIMINAL MISCELLANEOUS No.77075 of 2026

CNR No. BRHC011057992026

Arising Out of PS. Case No.-142 Year-2026 Thana- SONO District- Jamui

==========

Suman Kumar S/o Ramchandra Thakur R/o Village - Dumarkola, P.S. - Khaira, Dist. - Jamui.

... ... Petitioner/s

Versus

1. The State of Bihar
2. The Inspector of Post Offices, Jhajha, Sub-Division Jhajha, 811308 Bihar

... ... Opposite Party/s

==========

Appearance :
For the Petitioner/s : Mr. Sunil Kr. Pathak, Adv.
Mr. Pramod Kumar, Adv.
For the Opposite Party/s : Mr.Pawan Kumar Chaurasia, APP

==========

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE KUMAR MANISH

ORAL ORDER

2 28-10-2026 Heard learned counsel for the petitioner and learned APP for the State.

2. This is an application for regular bail to the petitioner who has been made accused in connection with Sono P.S. Case No. 142 of 2026 registered for the offences punishable under Sections 338, 336(3), 340(2), 318(4)of the BNS.

3. As per the prosecution case, the informant who is Inspector of Post Office of Jhajha sub-divison alleged that the petitioner secured selection as an Assistant Branch Post Master at Babudih by uploading a forged 10th standard mark sheet on the online portal. Upon verification, the Indian School Certificate Examination (ICSE) Board reported that the

WEB COPY

certificate and the index number were not genuine or issued by them. Consequently, an FIR was instituted alleging the acquisition of employment through forged educational document.

4. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that petitioner is quite innocent, has committed no offence and has falsely been implicated in this case. It is submitted that the petitioner has been trapped in the name of job vacancies by some rackets. It is submitted on behalf of the petitioner that he passed his matriculation with 61% marks in first division but he never joined the post or drew any salary. The investigation has already been completed and charge-sheet has been filed. Petitioner has clean antecedent and is languishing in judicial custody since 24.07.2026.

5. Learned A.P.P. appearing on behalf of the State has vehemently opposed the prayer for bail of the petitioner.

6. Considering the aforesaid facts and circumstances of the case, clean antecedent of the petitioner, period of custody as also taking into consideration the fact that the petitioner has not been benefited from the same, let the petitioner, above named, be released on bail on furnishing bail bonds of Rs. 10,000/- (Ten Thousand) with two sureties of the like amount

WEB COPY

each to the satisfaction of learned Additional Chief Judicial Magistrate-IInd, Jamui/concerned court in connection with Sono P.S. Case No. 142 of 2026, subject to following conditions:-

(i) one of the bailors should be the family member/close relative of the petitioner.

(ii) the petitioner shall co-operate in trial and will remain present on all dates personally or should be duly represented through his counsel and whenever required to be physically present by the court, he should physically appear in the court on that date.

(iii) if the petitioner tampers with the evidence or the witnesses, in that case, the prosecution will be at liberty to move for cancellation of bail.

(Kumar Manish, J)

Abhishek/-

U		T	

WEB COPY