IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
CRIMINAL MISCELLANEOUS No.76575 of 2026

CNR No. BRHC011055472026

Arising Out of PS. Case No.-369 Year-2026 Thana- LALGANJ District- Vaishali

==========

Sonu Kumar @ Sonu Kumar Sah Son of Suresh Sah Resident of Village- Rikhar, P.S.- Lalganj, Distt.- Vaisahali At Hajipur

... ... Petitioner/s

Versus

The State of Bihar

... ... Opposite Party/s

==========

Appearance :
For the Petitioner/s : Mr. Sachin Kumar
For the Opposite Party/s : Mr. Syed Mojibur Rahman

==========

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE SATYAVRAT VERMA

ORAL ORDER

2 05-11-2026 1. Heard learned counsel for the petitioner and learned A.P.P. for the State.

2. The petitioner apprehends his arrest in a case registered for the offences punishable under Sections 126(2), 115(2), 117(2), 109, 352, 303(2), 351(3) and 3(5) of the BNS.

3. Learned counsel appearing on behalf of the petitioner submits that petitioner is a person with clean antecedent and the informant alleges that his bhabhi had gone to the CSP to withdraw an amount of Rs. 42,000/-, further the CSP is run by the petitioner, it is next alleged that after obtaining her thumb impression the petitioner did not hand over the amount of Rs. 42,000/-, hence a complaint was made to the senior authorities of the bank on account of which the petitioner

WEB COPY

became aggrieved thus on 02.08.2026 at 8:00 P.M. while he was returning back when the petitioner along with other accused persons intercepted him and on orders of petitioner his maternal nephew assaulted him by rod causing injury on head and also snatched chain worth Rs. 2,50,000/-.

4. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that petitioner has been falsely implicated in the instant case by the informant. It is next submitted that from perusal of the allegation as alleged in the FIR, it would manifest that informant alleges that his bhabhi had gone to the CSP for withdrawing an amount of Rs. 42,000/-, but then does not allege that thumb impression of her bhabhi was taken on two different forms, it is further submitted that in CSP the maximum withdrawal at a time is Rs. 30,000/- as such the bhabhi of the informant had withdrawn an amount of Rs. 42,000/- in two transactions of Rs. 30,000/- and Rs. 12,000/-, it is also submitted that the amount was withdrawn and taken by her bhabhi, but then the informant falsely instituted the instant FIR, it is next submitted that in the second part it is alleged that based on orders of the petitioner his maternal nephew assaulted him by rod causing injury and his chain was also snatched, but then allegation of assault is not against the petitioner and he has been

WEB COPY

implicated in the instant case as an order giver, it is further submitted that allegation of snatching the chain is ornamental, it is also submitted that if the privilege of anticipatory bail is granted to the petitioner, the petitioner will not abscond rather will cooperate in the investigation to prove his innocence.

5. Learned A.P.P. for the State opposes the prayer for anticipatory bail of the petitioner.

6. Considering the submissions made by the learned counsel appearing on behalf of the petitioner, let the petitioner, above-named, in the event of his arrest or surrender within a period of six weeks from today, be released on anticipatory bail on furnishing bail bonds of Rs.10,000/- (Rupees Ten Thousand) with two sureties of the like amount each to the satisfaction of the learned Trial Court where the case is pending/Successor Court in connection with Lalganj P.S. Case No. 369 of 2026, subject to the conditions as laid down under Section 438(2) of the Cr.P.C./482(2) of the B.N.S.S.

7. However, it is made clear that if the Investigating Officer of the case files an application before the learned Trial Court bringing to its notice that the petitioner, despite giving assurance to this Court, is not cooperating in the investigation, the learned Trial Court shall be at liberty to cancel the bail

WEB COPY

bonds of the petitioner.

(Satyavrat Verma, J)

vikram/-

U		T	

WEB COPY