IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
CRIMINAL MISCELLANEOUS No.77498 of 2026

CNR No. BRHC011055092026

Arising Out of PS. Case No.-175 Year-2024 Thana- MAJORGANJ District- Sitamarhi

==========

Rajiv Singh @ Rajiv Kumar Singh Son of Sachchidanand Singh Resident of Village- Kuari Madan, Ward No.06, Kauri Madan, P.S.- Town, District- Sitamarhi

... ... Petitioner/s

Versus

The State of Bihar

... ... Opposite Party/s

==========

Appearance :
For the Petitioner/s : Mr. Virendra Kumar
For the Opposite Party/s : Mr. Ashok Kumar Singh

==========

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE SATYAVRAT VERMA

ORAL ORDER

2 30-10-2026 1. Heard learned counsel for the petitioner and learned A.P.P. for the State.

2. The petitioner apprehends his arrest in a case registered for the offence punishable under Section 414 IPC and Sections 30(a), 32(i), 41(i), 42(i)(ii) of Bihar Prohibition and Excise Act.

3. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that petitioner has antecedent of four cases, out of which, three cases are under the Excise Act and allegation is of recovery of 1818 litres of liquor from a pick up vehicle.

4. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that petitioner was not arrested from the spot as such nothing was

WEB COPY

recovered from his conscious possession and is not the owner of the seized vehicle and he came to be implicated at the instance of chowkidar with whom he is on an inimical term. It is also submitted that if chowkidar was aware of the involvement of the petitioner in the occurrence then why he did not inform the police prior to institution of the instant FIR which casts an aspersion on the case of the prosecution. It is also submitted that once an accused is implicated in a case relating to excise, the police starts implicating mechanically either through chowkidar, local person, confessional statement or secret information without holding a proper investigation.

5. Learned A.P.P. for the State opposes the prayer for anticipatory bail of the petitioner.

6. Considering the submissions made by the learned counsel for the petitioner, the petitioner above-named, in the event of his arrest or surrender within a period of six weeks from today, be released on provisional anticipatory bail on furnishing bail bonds of Rs.20,000/- (Rupees Twenty Thousand) with two sureties of the like amount each to the satisfaction of the learned trial court where the case is pending/successor court in connection with Majorganj P.S. Case No.175 of 2024, subject to the conditions as laid down under Section 482(2) of the

WEB COPY

B.N.S.S.

7. It is made clear that the learned trial court thereafter shall verify the criminal antecedents of the petitioner and in the event if it is found that petitioner has antecedent of more than four cases, in that event, it would be presumed that petitioner had concealed his antecedent before this court, as such, the provisional anticipatory bail order shall not be confirmed but if on verification it is found that petitioner has antecedent of four cases, in that event the provisional anticipatory bail order shall be confirmed forthwith.

(Satyavrat Verma, J)

amit/-

U		T	

WEB COPY