

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
CRIMINAL MISCELLANEOUS No.75148 of 2025

Arising Out of PS. Case No.-193 Year-2025 Thana- SAMASTIPUR District- Samastipur

Kaushal Kumar Singh Son of Bhartendu Prasad Singh R/o Village -
Malkalipur Devdhi, P.S. - Vidhyapati Nagar, Dist. - Samastipur.

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

1. The State of Bihar
2. Randhir Singh S/o- Late Balendra Prasad Singh R/o Village- Malkalipur
Devdhi PS- Vidhyapati Nagar Dist- Samastipur

... .. Opposite Party/s

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s	:	Mr. Krishna Prasad Singh, Sr. Advocate Mr. Amit Kumar, Advocate
For the Opposite Party/s	:	Mr. Jitendra Kumar Singh, APP
For the Informant	:	Mr. Ramesh Kumar Choudhary, Advocate

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE RAJIV ROY
ORAL ORDER

3 24-02-2026 Heard Mr. Krishna Prasad Singh, learned Senior
counsel for the petitioner, Mr. Ramesh Kumar Choudhary,
learned counsel representing the informant beside Mr. Jitendra
Kumar Singh, learned APP.

2. The petitioner is apprehending his arrest in
connection with Samastipur P.S. Case No. 193 of 2025 for the
offence under sections 318(4), 338, 336(3), 340(2) and 3(5) of
the B.N.S. lodged on 18.07.2025 by the informant, Randhir
Singh.

3. As per the prosecution story, the informant alleged
that in the month of March, 2025, he came to know about the
selling of his ancestral land by the petitioner alongwith other



accused persons. He reached the Registration Office, Samastipur and came to know about the fraudulent transfer/registration. This led to the FIR.

4. Learned Senior counsel for the petitioner submits that the land belongs to the petitioner and it will manifest from the fact that prior to filing of the FIR, he preferred Title Suit No. 275 of 2025 before a competent Court at Samastipur. Further, though in the main petition, it was recorded that he has no criminal antecedent, by way of supplementary affidavit, the petitioner has brought on record that he has one criminal antecedent vide Vidyapati Nagar P.S. Case No. 104/21 u/s 366, 323, 504 and 34 of the Indian Penal Code.

5. Learned counsel representing the informant, on the other hand, submits that not only the Police during the investigation has recorded the fact that the Randhir Singh was having peaceful possession over the land, the petitioner suppressed his criminal antecedent in main petition and came up with piecemeal information in the supplementary affidavit inasmuch as he still suppressed the cases that have been lodged against him vide Vidyapati Nagar P.S. Case No. 160 of 2024 on 03.12.2024 u/s 329, 324(4), 303(2), 308, 126(2) and other sections of the BNS. He further submits that a Complaint Case



No. 512/2023 (Arvind Kumar Singh vs. Kaushal Kumar Singh and Ors.) is also pending in which cognizance was taken against him on 25.09.2024 by a competent Court at Dalsinghsarai Samastipur.

6. Taking into account the aforesaid facts as also that the petitioner tried to suppress the criminal antecedent part from this Court repeatedly, in that background, it would be appropriate that he seeks bail.

7. The anticipatory bail application stands rejected.

(Rajiv Roy, J)

Adnan/-

U		T	
---	--	---	--

