

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
CRIMINAL MISCELLANEOUS No.71861 of 2025

Arising Out of PS. Case No.-312 Year-2025 Thana- TEKARI District- Gaya

1. Md. Daud Ali S/o Md. Akhtar Hussain @ Akhtar Ansari @ Md. Akhter Ansari R/o Village - Belahriya, P.S - Tekari, District - Gaya (Bihar)
2. Md. Afzal Ansari @ Golden Ansari @ Afzal Ansari S/o Md. Akhtar Hussain @ Akhtar Ansari @ Md. Akhter Ansari R/o Village - Belahriya, P.S - Tekari, District - Gaya (Bihar)
3. Md. Suhail Ansari @ Md. Sushil Ansari S/o Md. Akhtar Hussain @ Akhtar Ansari @ Md. Akhter Ansari R/o Village - Belahriya, P.S - Tekari, District - Gaya (Bihar)
4. Md. Zanishar Ali @ Ladla @ Zanishar Ali S/o Md. Akhtar Hussain @ Akhtar Ansari @ Md. Akhter Ansari R/o Village - Belahriya, P.S - Tekari, District - Gaya (Bihar)

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

The State of Bihar

... .. Opposite Party/s

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s :	Mr.Rabia Gulnaz
For the Opposite Party/s :	Mr.Rajendra Prasad Nat
	Mr.Prakash Kumar
	Mr.Md Reyaj
	Mr.Md Tauqueer Azhar

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE SATYAVRAT VERMA
ORAL ORDER

3 18-04-2026 1. Heard learned counsel for the petitioners, learned APP for the State and the learned counsel appearing on behalf of the informant.

2. The petitioners seek bail in anticipation of their arrest in a case registered for the offences punishable under Sections 126(1), 126(2), 115(2), 117(2), 118(1), 109(1), 74, 303(2), 351(2), 351(3), 3(5) of the B.N.S. and Section 27 of



the Arms Act.

3. The learned counsel for the petitioners submits that the petitioner nos.1 and 4 have antecedent of one case and petitioner nos.2 and 3 are persons with clean antecedent and the informant alleges that on 02.07.2025, eight named accused persons including the petitioners came and Md. Zanishar Ali (petitioner no.4) and Md. Daud Ali (petitioner no.1) assaulted her husband by rod causing injury on head, thereafter Md. Aadil and Tarique were assaulted by Suhail, Afzal and Md. Daud by lathi in which iron was affixed causing injury on their head, thereafter female accused snatched her earring and chain and scattered the household articles and accused fled firing.

4. The learned counsel for the petitioners submits that petitioners have been falsely implicated in the instant case by the informant. It is next submitted that petitioners and the informant are neighbour and are having dispute relating to passage. It is next submitted that injury suffered by the husband of the informant has been opined to be simple and injury of Aadil and Tarique has also been opined to be simple, but then, one of the injuries suffered by the



informant has been opined to be grievous but the same is on non-vital part of the body. It is also submitted that FIR does not disclose who assaulted the informant.

5. Learned A.P.P. as well as the learned counsel appearing on behalf of the informant opposes the anticipatory bail application, but the, learned A.P.P. after perusing the case diary and injury report fairly submits that the injury suffered by husband of the informant has been opined to be simple, similarly injury of Aadil and Tarique is also simple as recorded in the order impugned. The learned counsel appearing on behalf of the informant is also not in a position to rebut the said submission of the learned counsel appearing on behalf of the petitioners.

6. Considering the submissions made by the learned counsel for the petitioners, the petitioners, above-named, in the event of their arrest or surrender before the learned Court below within a period of six weeks, are directed to be released on anticipatory bail on their furnishing bail-bonds in the sum of Rs. 10,000/- (Rupees Ten Thousand) each with two sureties of the like amount each to the satisfaction of the learned Trial Court where the



case is pending/successor Court in connection with Tekari P.
S. Case No.312 of 2025, subject to the conditions laid down
under Section 482(2) of the B.N.S.S.

7. The application stands allowed.

(Satyavrat Verma, J)

vikash/-

U		T	
---	--	---	--

