

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
CRIMINAL APPEAL (SJ) No.4896 of 2024

Arising Out of PS. Case No.-127 Year-2019 Thana- NIMCHAKBATHANI District- Gaya

Ranjit Kumar @ Ramjee @ Ranjit Yadav S/o Arjun Yadav R/o Village-
Bangathiya, P.S.- Neemchak Bathani, District- Gaya

... .. Appellant/s

Versus

1. The State of Bihar
2. Harishchandra Chaudhary S/o Late Kram Chaudhary R/o vill - Natesar, P.S.
- Neemchak Bathani, Distt.- Gaya

... .. Respondent/s

Appearance :

For the Appellant	:	Mr. Durgesh Nandan, Advocate
For the State	:	Mr. Binay Krishna, Spl.PP
For the Informant	:	Mr. Sunil Kumar Yadav, Advocate

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE PRABHAT KUMAR SINGH
ORAL ORDER

6 02-04-2026 Heard learned counsel appearing for the appellant,

learned Special Public Prosecutor appearing for the respondent-

State and learned counsel appearing for the informant/

Respondent No. 2.

2. This appeal has been filed for setting aside order dated 27.08.2024 passed by the learned Exclusive Special Judge, S.C./S.T. (POA) Act, Gaya in A.B.P. No. 266 of 2024 arising out of a case registered for the offence punishable under Sections 341, 323, 384 and 504 of the Indian Penal Code and Section 3(r)(s) of the Scheduled Castes and Scheduled Tribes



(Prevention of Atrocities) Act, whereby the prayer for anticipatory bail of this appellant has been rejected.

3. The prosecution case, in brief, is that this appellant abused informant by caste name, assaulted him with fist and slaps and also demanded commission.

4. It is submitted by learned counsel appearing on behalf of the appellant that appellant is quite innocent and has committed no offence. Appellant has falsely been implicated in this case with ulterior motive. It is not the case of the prosecution that any member of public was present at the place of occurrence and as such, no case under the Scheduled Castes and Scheduled Tribes (Prevention of Atrocities) Act is made out against this appellant.

5. On the other hand, learned Spl. P.P. appearing for the respondent-State and learned counsel appearing for the informant/Respondent No. 2 have vehemently opposed the prayer for grant of bail to the appellant and submitted that appellant is named in the F.I.R. and there is specific accusation that he abused informant by caste name and assaulted him with fist and slaps. Appellant has got nine criminal antecedents.

6. Considering the facts and circumstances of the case, specific and direct nature of accusation and criminal



antecedents of the appellant, appellant’s prayer for grant of pre-arrest bail is rejected and this appeal is, accordingly, dismissed.

(Prabhat Kumar Singh, J)

shashank/-

U		T	
---	--	---	--

