IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
CRIMINAL MISCELLANEOUS No.73328 of 2026

CNR No. BRHC011046012026

Arising Out of PS. Case No.-493 Year-2026 Thana- KANTI District- Muzaffarpur

==========

Sujit Ray @ Sujit Kumar, S/O Suresh Ray R/O Village- Madhuban Kanti, P.S.- Kanti, Distt.- Muzaffarpur.

... ... Petitioner/s

Versus

The State of Bihar

... ... Opposite Party/s

==========

Appearance :
For the Petitioner/s : Mr.Priyesh Kumar
For the Opposite Party/s : Ms.Meena Singh

==========

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE SATYAVRAT VERMA

ORAL ORDER

2 30-10-2026 1. Heard learned counsel for the petitioner and learned APP for the State.

2. The petitioner seeks bail in anticipation of his arrest in a case registered for the offences punishable under Sections 317(5), 338, 336(3) and 3(5) of the B.N.S. and Section 30(a) of the Excise Act.

3. The learned counsel for the petitioner submits that the petitioner has antecedent of twelve cases under the Excise Act and allegation is of recovery of 2983.665 litres of liquor from two vehicles.

4. The learned counsel for the petitioner submits that petitioner was not arrested from the spot, as such, nothing was recovered from his conscious possession and is not the owner of

WEB COPY

any of the seized vehicle and he came to be implicated based on secret information which is the easiest way to implicate someone. It is next submitted that police in majority of cases implicating innocent persons either at the behest of Chaukidar, local person, secret information and confessional statement in a mechanical manner without holding a proper investigation. It is further submitted that in similar manner earlier also petitioner came to be implicated in cases relating to excise.

5. Learned A.P.P. opposes the anticipatory bail application.

6. Considering the submissions made by the learned counsel for the petitioner, the petitioner, above-named, in the event of his arrest or surrender before the learned Court below within a period of six weeks, is directed to be released on provisional anticipatory bail on his furnishing bail-bonds in the sum of Rs.60,000/- (Rupees Sixty Thousand) with two sureties of the like amount each to the satisfaction of the learned Trial Court where the case is pending/successor Court in connection with Kanti P. S. Case No.493 of 2026, subject to the conditions laid down under Section 438(2) of the Cr.P.C.

7. The application stands allowed.

8. It is made clear that the learned trial Court after

WEB COPY

accepting the provisional bail bonds of the petitioner shall verify the criminal antecedent of the petitioner and in the event, if it is found that petitioner has antecedent of more than twelve cases, then it would be presumed that petitioner for the purposes of obtaining anticipatory bail had concealed his antecedent before this Court, in that event, the present provisional anticipatory bail order shall not be confirmed, but if on verification, it is found that petitioner has antecedent of only twelve cases, in that event, the provisional anticipatory bail order shall be confirmed forthwith.

(Satyavrat Verma, J)

vikash/-

U		T	

WEB COPY