IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
CRIMINAL MISCELLANEOUS No.76167 of 2026

CNR No. BRHC011044742026

Arising Out of PS. Case No.-89 Year-2025 Thana- BARABAR TOURIST District- Jehanabad

==========

Pappu Kumar @ Sanuj Kumar S/o Satyendra Yadav Resident of Village - Mishar Bigha (Mishir Bigha), P.S - Barabar Paryatak (Vishunganj), District - Jehanabad

... ... Petitioner

Versus

The State of Bihar

... ... Opposite Party

==========

Appearance :
For the Petitioner/s : Mr. Uday Kumar, Advocate
For the Opposite Party/s : Mr. Madhuri Lata, A.P.P.

==========

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE ALOK KUMAR

ORAL ORDER

2 28-10-2026 Heard learned counsel for the petitioner and the learned APP for the State.

2. The petitioner seeks bail in connection with Sessions Trial No. 13 of 2025, arising out of Jehanabad Barabar Tourism P.S. Case No. 89 of 2025 instituted for the offences under Sections 126(2), 115(2), 333, 109, 74, 303(2), 352, 351(2) and 3(5) of the Bharatiya Nyaya Sanhita, 2023.

3. The prosecution case, in brief, is that on 15.08.2025 at about 9:20 P.M., while the informant was at her home, the accused persons named in the F.I.R., including the petitioner, allegedly arrived there, variously armed with weapons, and started abusing her. It is alleged that, when the informant protested, the petitioner caught hold of her mother, while co-

WEB COPY

accused Satyendra Yadav assaulted the informant's mother with an iron rod, causing injuries to her head. It is further alleged that, when Kamlesh Kumar, brother of the informant, intervened, the petitioner assaulted him with the butt of a pistol, as a result of which his teeth was broken. It is also alleged that the accused persons snatched a *Jitiya* ornament worth Rs. 40,000/- from the neck of the informant's mother and that the petitioner attempted to molest the informant.

4. Learned counsel appearing on behalf of the petitioner submits that the petitioner has been falsely implicated in the present case due to village politics and animosity between the parties. He further submits that the petitioner's prayer for anticipatory bail was rejected by a co-ordinate Bench of this Court vide order dated 12.01.2026 passed in Criminal Miscellaneous No. 89104 of 2025. He also submits that the petitioner has been in custody since 12.08.2026 and has no criminal antecedents. Learned counsel further submits that the other co-accused has been granted anticipatory bail by a co-ordinate Bench of this Court vide order dated 12.01.2026 passed in Criminal Miscellaneous No. 89104 of 2025. The counsel lasly submits that there is a case and counter-case between the parties.

5. Learned A.P.P. for the State has vehemently

WEB COPY

opposed the prayer for grant of bail to the petitioner.

6. Having heard the parties and taking into consideration the fact that Chargesheet has been submitted and the fact that there is case and counter case between the parties, this Court is inclined to grant bail to the petitioner.

7. Let the petitioner be released on bail on furnishing bail bonds of Rs. 10,000/- (Rupees Ten Thousand) with two sureties of the like amount each to the satisfaction of Court below/concerned Court in connection with Sessions Trial No. 13 of 2025, arising out of Jehanabad Barabar Tourism P.S. Case No. 89 of 2025, subject to the following conditions:

(I) One of the bailors shall be a family member or close relative of the petitioner.

(II) The petitioner shall appear on each and every date fixed during the trial and shall not remain absent on two consecutive dates without sufficient cause.

(III) The petitioner shall not tamper with the prosecution evidence or influence, threaten, or intimidate any witness during the course of the trial.

In the event of violation of any of the aforesaid conditions, the Trial Court shall be at liberty to cancel the bail bonds of the petitioner.

(Alok Kumar, J)

manish/-

U		T	

WEB COPY