IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
CRIMINAL MISCELLANEOUS No.71610 of 2026

CNR No. BRHC011044312026

Arising Out of PS. Case No.-75 Year-2026 Thana- LACHHUAR District- Jamui

==========

Mohd. Manjar Son of Late Mohd. Mahroom Resident of Nawabganj, PS- Lachuar Sikandra, Dist- Jamui

... ... Petitioner/s

Versus

1. The State of Bihar
2. Khushboo Khatoon Wife of Mohd. Rehman Resident of Nawabganj, PS- Lachuar, Dist- Jamui

... ... Opposite Party/s

==========

Appearance :
For the Petitioner/s : Mr. Satya Ranjan Sinha, Advocate
Ms. Seema Kumari, Advocate
Ms. Swetangi Ranjan, Advocate
Mr. Dhananjay Kumar, Advocate
For the Opposite Party/s : Mr. Ramchandra Sahni, A.P.P.

==========

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE SATYAVRAT VERMA

ORAL ORDER

2 27-10-2026 1. Heard learned counsel for the petitioner and learned A.P.P. for the State.

2. The petitioner apprehends his arrest in a case registered for the offences punishable under Sections 64, 62 and 329 of the BNS, 2023 as well as Section 8 of the POCSO Act, 2012.

3. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that petitioner is a person with clean antecedent and the informant alleges that on 31.05.2026 at 11:00 p.m. petitioner in a drunken condition entered her house and forcefully took her minor daughter who was sleeping in the room after closing her mouth

WEB COPY

with an intent to commit rape but on alarm raised by the victim accused fled away when people gathered. It is further alleged that elder brother and bhabhi of the petitoiner abused her and Javed threatened with pistol.

4. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that petitioner has been falsely implicated in the instant case by the informant. It is further submitted that petitioner and the victim were in love. It is next submitted that though in the FIR, it is alleged that victim is a minor but then the same is not substantiated by any documentary evidence. It is asserted and submitted that the victim is a major. It is also submitted that statement of the victim was recorded under Section 183 BNSS wherein she has not supported the case of the prosecution.

5. Learned A.P.P. for the State opposes the prayer for anticipatory bail of the petitioner.

6. Considering the submissions made by the learned counsel appearing on behalf of the petitioner, let the petitioner, above-named, in the event of his arrest or surrender within a period of six weeks from today, be released on anticipatory bail on furnishing bail bonds of Rs.10,000/- (Rupees Ten Thousand) with two sureties of the like amount each to the satisfaction of the learned Trial Court where the case is pending/Successor

WEB COPY

Court in connection with Lachuar P.S. Case No. 75 of 2026, subject to the conditions as laid down under Section 438(2) of the Cr.P.C./482(2) of the B.N.S.S.

(Satyavrat Verma, J)

Kundan/-

U		T	

WEB COPY