

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
CRIMINAL APPEAL (SJ) No.4106 of 2025

Arising Out of PS. Case No.-279 Year-2024 Thana- KHAJAU LI District- Madhubani

Dhirendra Kumar Singh @ Dhiru S/O Ramnarayan Singh R/O Village-
Belakothi, P.S-Khajauli, District- Madhubani.

... .. Appellant/s

Versus

1. The State of Bihar
2. Mahendra Prasad Das S/O Late Subodh Das R/O Village- Maina, Ward No. 14, P.S- Khajauli, Distt.- Madhubani.

... .. Respondent/s

Appearance :

For the Appellant/s : Ms. Kumari Pallavi, Advocate
For the Respondent/s : Ms. Usha Kumari 1, SPP

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE RAJESH KUMAR VERMA
ORAL ORDER

5 14-05-2026 Despite valid service of notice, no one appears on
behalf of the Respondent No. 2.

2. Heard Ms. Kumari Pallavi, learned counsel for the
appellant as well as Ms. Usha Kumari 1, learned Spl.P.P. for the
State.

3. This is an appeal under Sections 14(A)(2) against
refusal of the prayer for regular bail by order dated 10.01.2025
passed by the learned Court of Additional Sessions Judge, 1st
cum Special Judge SC/ST Atrocities Act, Madhubani in
connection with Khajauli P.S. Case No. 279 of 2024, F.I.R.
dated 04.12.2024 registered under Sections 126(2), 103(1),
61(2) and 3(5) of the BNS, 2023, Section 27 of the Arms Act



and Sections 3(2)(va) and 3(2)(v) of the SC/ST Act.

4. According to the prosecution case, this appellant has fired upon the informant's grandson resulting into his death.

5. Learned counsel for the appellant submits that appellant is innocent and he has falsely been implicated in the present case. The allegation as alleged in the FIR is false and fabricated and the appellant has not committed any offence as alleged in the FIR and he has been made accused in the present case merely on the basis of suspicion and except the suspicion nothing has come during investigation which suggest the involvement of the appellant in the present occurrence.

6. Learned Special Public Prosecutor for the State on the basis of material available on record and the case diary has vehemently opposed the prayer for bail of the appellant and submits that from perusal of the FIR it appears that the specific allegation of firing is against the appellant and in the present case, the grandson of the informant has died and number of witnesses have supported the case of the prosecution and even the medical report also supports the allegation as alleged in the FIR.

7. Considering the nature of allegation as alleged in the FIR supported by the medical evidence, I am not inclined to



enlarge the appellant on bail in connection with Khajauli P.S.
Case No. 279 of 2024 pending in the Court of learned
Additional Sessions Judge, 1st cum Special Judge SC/ST
Atrocities Act, Madhubani.

8. Accordingly, the impugned order is affirmed and
this appeal stands dismissed.

(Rajesh Kumar Verma, J)

Vanisha/-

U		T	
---	--	---	--

