

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
CRIMINAL MISCELLANEOUS No.72892 of 2025

Arising Out of PS. Case No.-259 Year-2019 Thana- SAHPUR District- Patna

1. Sohan Manjhi S/O Tara Manjhi R/O Village - Thudhi, Bara Musahari, P.S-Shahpur, Dist- Patna.
2. Mohan Manjhi S/O Tara Manjhi R/O Village - Thudhi, Bara Musahari, P.S-Shahpur, Dist- Patna.

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

The State of Bihar

... .. Opposite Party/s

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s : Mr. Ajit Kumar, Advocate

For the Opposite Party/s : Mr. Anuj Kumar Shrivastava, APP

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE RUDRA PRAKASH MISHRA

ORAL ORDER

3 13-01-2026 Heard learned counsel for the petitioners, learned APP for the State and perused the case diary.

2. The petitioners seek bail in connection with Shahpur P.S. Case No. 259 of 2019, instituted for the offences punishable under Sections 147, 341, 323, 504, 307 of the Indian Penal Code and later on Section 302 of the Indian Penal Code was added.

3. The prosecution case, in short, is that the petitioners along with other co-accused persons abused and assaulted the informant and his brother. It is further alleged that they sustained injuries and their mobile and cash were also snatched by the accused persons. It is further alleged that younger brother



of the informant succumbed to his injuries in course of treatment.

4. Learned counsel for the petitioners submits that the petitioners are innocent and have falsely been implicated in the present case. No incriminating material have been recovered from the conscious possession of the petitioners. Learned counsel for the petitioners also submits that no specific allegation has been attributed against the petitioners rather the same is general and omnibus in nature. It is next submitted that the occurrence happened due to trivial issue and there was no any intention or motive to kill the deceased. The petitioners are in custody since 17.07.2025 and have got no criminal antecedent.

5. Learned APP for the State has vehemently opposed the prayer for grant of bail to the petitioners and submits that there is specific allegation of assault against the petitioners. The witnesses have also supported the case of prosecution. On perusal of post-mortem report of the deceased, it transpires that cause of death is mentioned as head injury made with hard and blunt object. Hence, the petitioners do not deserve the privilege of bail.

6. Considering the aforesaid facts and circumstances



of the case, nature of accusation and the gravity of the offence,
this Court is not inclined to grant bail to the petitioners.

7. The prayer is rejected. The trial Court is directed to
expedite the Trial.

(Rudra Prakash Mishra, J)

Rajorshi/-

U		T	
---	--	---	--

