IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
CRIMINAL MISCELLANEOUS No.71778 of 2026

CNR No. BRHC011041352026

Arising Out of PS. Case No.-102 Year-2026 Thana- PALI District- Jehanabad

==========

Santu Kumar S/O Karu Mahto @ Pramod Mahto R/O Village- Bembai, P.S- Pali, District- Jehanabad.

... ... Petitioner/s

Versus

The State of Bihar

... ... Opposite Party/s

==========

Appearance :
For the Petitioner/s : Mr.Dheeraj Kumar, Advocate
For the Opposite Party/s : Mr.Anand Kishore Choudhary, APP

==========

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE SATYAVRAT VERMA

ORAL ORDER

2 27-10-2026 1. Heard learned counsel for the petitioner and learned A.P.P. for the State.

2. The petitioner apprehends his arrest in a case registered for the offences punishable under Sections 126(2), 115(2), 109(1), 74, 352, 351(2) and 3(5) of the Bharatiya Nyaya Sanhita.

3. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that petitioner is a person with clean antecedent and the informant alleges that on 10.07.2026 at 07:15 PM he was going home when petitioner along with Mantu intercepted him and started abusing, on protest, both assaulted him by an iron rod and petitioner assaulted by rod on head causing injury, further Mantu assaulted his wife (Manju) by *lathi* who came to save

WEB COPY

him causing injury on her head, thereafter Sumanti, Rajni Devi, Karu and Vikash assaulted him and his wife by brick and rod, further villagers pacified the issue.

4. Learned counsel appearing on behalf of the petitioner submits that petitioner has been falsely implicated in the instant case by the informant, it is next submitted that from perusal of the allegation as alleged in the FIR, it would manifest that the same does not disclosed the reason for the occurrence, it is next submitted that injury of wife of the informant has been opined to be grievous, but then the allegation of assault is against Mantu, it is further submitted that as far as petitioner is concerned, he is alleged to have assaulted the informant along with others as detailed in the FIR, but then the injury of informant has been opined to be simple in nature and the blow is not alleged to have been repeated, It is reiterated that submitted that petitioner is a person with clean antecedent and is not a criminal, it is next submitted that Mantu is in custody.

5. Learned A.P.P. for the State opposes the prayer for anticipatory bail of the petitioner.

6. Considering the submissions made by the learned counsel for the petitioner, the petitioner above-named, in the event of his arrest or surrender within a period of six weeks

WEB COPY

from today, be released on anticipatory bail on furnishing bail bonds of Rs. 10,000/- (Rupees Ten Thousand) with two sureties of the like amount each to the satisfaction of the learned trial court where the case is pending/successor court in connection with Pali P.S. Case No. 102 of 2026 subject to the conditions as laid down under Section 482 (2) of the BNSS.

7. Accordingly, the instant anticipatory bail application stands allowed.

(Satyavrat Verma, J)

Nitesh/-

U		T	

WEB COPY