IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
CRIMINAL MISCELLANEOUS No.75213 of 2026

CNR No. BRHC011038502026

Arising Out of PS. Case No.-120 Year-2025 Thana- CHANDRAMANDI District- Jamui

==========

1. Sushil Hansda S/o Ram Hansda @ Ramu Hansda R/o Vill. - Laxmipur, P.S. - Chandramandi, Dist. - Jamui.
2. Rajesh Murmur S/o Somra Murmu R/o Vill. - Laxmipur, P.S. - Chandramandi, Dist. - Jamui.
3. Ram Lal Murmu @ Ramlal Murmu S/o Somra Murmu R/o Vill. - Laxmipur, P.S. - Chandramandi, Dist. - Jamui.
4. Mukesh Murmu S/o Somra Murmu R/o Vill. - Laxmipur, P.S. - Chandramandi, Dist. - Jamui.

... ... Petitioner/s

Versus

The State of Bihar

... ... Opposite Party/s

==========

Appearance :
For the Petitioner/s : Mr. Dipak Kumar, Advocate
For the Opposite Party/s : Mr. Sanjay Kumar, APP

==========

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE SATYAVRAT VERMA

ORAL ORDER

2 30-10-2026 1. Heard learned counsel for the petitioners and learned A.P.P. for the State.

2. The petitioners apprehend their arrest in a case registered for the offences punishable under Sections 191(2), 190, 132, 262 and 263 read with Sections 30(a) and 45 of the Bihar Excise Act.

3. Learned counsel for the petitioners submits that petitioners are persons with clean antecedent and allegation is of recovery of 10 liters of liquor from courtyard of the house of Bonga Murmu.

WEB COPY

4. Learned counsel for the petitioners submits that petitioners were not apprehended from the spot as such nothing was recovered from their conscious possession and even alleged recovery is from a place which does not belong to the petitioners and they have no concern or relation with Bonga Murmu and they came to be implicated at the instance of Chowkidar with whom they are on an inimical term. It is also submitted that if Chowkidar was aware of the involvement of the petitioners in the occurrence then why he did not inform the police prior to institution of the instant FIR which casts an aspersion on the case of the prosecution.

5. Learned A.P.P. for the State opposes the prayer for anticipatory bail of the petitioners.

6. Considering the submissions made by the learned counsel for the petitioners, the petitioners above-named, in the event of their arrest or surrender before the learned Trial Court within a period of six weeks from today, be released on **Provisional anticipatory bail** on furnishing bail bonds of Rs. 500/- (Rupees Five Hundred) each with two sureties of the like amount each to the satisfaction of the learned Trial Court where the case is pending/successor court in connection with Chandramandi P.S. Case No. 120 of 2025 subject to the

WEB COPY

conditions as laid down under Section 482 (2) of the BNSS.

7. It is made clear that thereafter the learned Trial Court shall verify the criminal antecedent of the petitioners and in the event if it is found that any of the petitioners have antecedent of even one case then it would be presumed that petitioners, for the purposes of seeking anticipatory bail, had concealed their antecedent before this Court, as such, the provisional anticipatory bail order shall not be confirmed with respect to the petitioner who had concealed his antecedent, but after verification if it is found that petitioners are persons with clean antecedent in that event the provisional anticipatory bail order shall be confirmed forthwith.

(Satyavrat Verma, J)

Rishabh/-

U		T	

WEB COPY