

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
CRIMINAL MISCELLANEOUS No.72433 of 2025

Arising Out of PS. Case No.-121 Year-2024 Thana- SHAMBHUGANJ District- Banka

=====

Vinod Paswan @ Binod Paswan @ Arun Yadav, S/o Late Lakhpati Paswan,
R/o Village- Pipra Tola, Khagra, P.S.- Shambhuganj, District- Banka

... .. Petitioner

Versus

The State of Bihar

... .. Opposite Party

=====

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s : Mr. Dhananjay Kumar Pandey, Advocate

For the Opposite Party/s : Mr. Ashok Kumar Singh, APP

=====

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE CHANDRA SHEKHAR JHA
ORAL ORDER

4 10-02-2026 Heard learned counsel for the petitioner and learned

Additional Public Prosecutor for the State.

2. The accused/petitioner seeks bail in connection with Shambhuganj P.S. Case No.121 of 2024 registered for the offences punishable under Sections 341, 323, 302, 307 and 506 read with 34 of the Indian Penal Code (in short 'IPC').

3. The accused/petitioner is named in the FIR and is in custody since 19.03.2025.

4. As per FIR, the petitioner along with other co-accused persons committed murder of father of informant. The alleged assault was made by using *lathi*, *danda*, iron rod, etc in the background of land dispute.



5. It is submitted by learned counsel appearing for petitioner that occurrence took place in the background of land dispute, as it appears from facial perusal of FIR itself. It is submitted that allegation *qua* causing fatal assault is available against all named co-accused persons and if it is accepted true on its face then, multiple injuries to be found upon deceased but, upon perusal of postmortem report, only single had injury was found upon deceased father of the informant, which proved fatal, making accusations doubtful on its face. It is submitted that the maximum allegation as per FIR, which is available against petitioner is to give order to his son and others to kill the father of the informant. While concluding argument, it is submitted that investigation of this case is already completed, for which, charge-sheet has been submitted and, as such, there is no chance of tampering with the evidence.

6. Learned APP opposed the prayer for grant of bail to the petitioner.

7. In view of aforesaid factual submissions and by taking note of fact as the maximum allegation against this



petitioner is to give order during the occurrence, coupled with the fact that investigation of this case is already completed, where petitioner remains in custody since 19.03.2025, accordingly, the petitioner, above-named, is directed to be released on bail furnishing bail bond of Rs.10,000/- (Rupees Ten Thousand) with two sureties of the like amount each to the satisfaction of the learned Additional Sessions Judge-II, Banka in connection with S.T. No.244 of 2025 arising out of Shambhuganj P.S. Case No.121 of 2024, subject to the conditions as laid down under Section 437(3) of the Code of Criminal Procedure (for short ‘CrPC’)/under Section 480(3) of the Bhartiya Nagrik Suraksha Sanhita (for short ‘BNSS’).

(Chandra Shekhar Jha, J.)

Sanjeet/-

U		T	
---	--	---	--

