

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
CRIMINAL MISCELLANEOUS No.70816 of 2025

Arising Out of PS. Case No.-173 Year-2025 Thana- KEWATI District- Darbhanga

Lalu Yadav S/O Hari Yadav Resident of Village- Megha, Police Station-
Keoti, District- Darbhanga

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

The State of Bihar

... .. Opposite Party/s

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s : Mr.Nilendu Kumar Choudhary

For the Opposite Party/s : Mr.Md. Fahimuddin

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE SATYAVRAT VERMA
ORAL ORDER

2 11-02-2026 1. Heard learned counsel for the petitioner and
learned APP for the State.

2. The petitioner seeks bail in anticipation of his
arrest in a case registered for the offences punishable under
Sections 190, 191(1), 191(2), 191(3), 352, 351(2) and 109 of the
B.N.S. and Section 27 of the Arms Act.

3. The learned counsel for the petitioner submits that
the petitioner has antecedent of two cases and the informant
alleges that on 09.07.2025 at 3.00 P.M., the informant was
sleeping in his newly constructed house, when eight accused
persons on four motorcycles came and asked about Pappu from
the people present there, when no reply was given, they started
firing but informant managed to save himself.

4. The learned counsel for the petitioner submits that



from perusal of the FIR, it would manifest that five accused persons are named in the FIR, but then, petitioner is not named and his name transpired during the course of investigation in the confessional statement of Vishal Kumar Yadav in police custody, which does not have any evidentiary value. It is also submitted that even presuming what has been alleged is true without admitting, then no one was injured in the occurrence and the allegation of firing is also general and omnibus in nature. It is next submitted that since petitioner has antecedent of two cases, as such, police got him implicated through Vishal. It is also submitted that petitioner will not abscond rather will cooperate in the investigation to prove his innocence.

5. Learned A.P.P. opposes the anticipatory bail application.

6. Considering the submissions made by the learned counsel for the petitioner, the petitioner, above-named, in the event of his arrest or surrender within a period of six weeks, is directed to be released on bail on his furnishing bail-bonds in the sum of Rs. 25,000/- (Rupees Twenty Five Thousand) with two sureties of the like amount each to the satisfaction of the learned Trial Court where the case is pending/successor Court in connection with Keoti P. S. Case No.173 of 2025, subject to the



conditions laid down under Section 482(2) of the B.N.S.S. with a further condition that one of the bailors of the petitioner shall be his father, namely, Hari Yadav.

7. The application stands allowed.

8. However, it is made clear that in the event, if any application is filed by the Investigating Officer before the learned trial Court bringing to its notice that petitioner, despite giving assurance to this Court, is not cooperating in the investigation or is not presenting himself as and when required, the learned trial Court shall be at liberty to cancel the bail bonds of the petitioner after recording reasons.

9. Let a copy of this order be sent to the concerned Police Station through the learned trial Court.

(Satyavrat Verma, J)

vikash/-

U		T	
---	--	---	--

