**IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA**
**CRIMINAL MISCELLANEOUS No.71817 of 2026**

**CNR No. BRHC011037902026**

Arising Out of PS. Case No.-480 Year-2023 Thana- RAJAON District- Banka

==========

Sarita Devi wife of Mithlesh yadav Resident Of Village - Jhitka, Ps- Rajoun, Dist- Banka

... ... Petitioner/s

Versus

The State of Bihar

... ... Opposite Party/s

==========

**Appearance :**
For the Petitioner/s : Mr.Brij Nandan Prasad, Advocate
For the Opposite Party/s : Mr.Dilip Kumar No. 1,APP

==========

**CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE SATYAVRAT VERMA**

ORAL ORDER

2 28-10-2026 1. Heard learned counsel for the petitioner and learned A.P.P. for the State.

2. The petitioner apprehends her arrest in a case registered for the offences punishable under Sections 147, 149, 341, 323, 353, 504, 506 of the Indian Penal Code.

3. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that petitioner is a person with clean antecedent and is a woman, it is next submitted that petitioner had earlier instituted Rajoun PS Case No. 500 of 20222 alleging that her son was kidnapped by Baby Devi, it is submitted that subsequently the dead body of the son of the petitioner was found and Baby Devi was taken in custody. It is next submitted that from perusal of the allegation as alleged in the instant FIR, it would manifest that informant

WEB COPY

(PSI of Rajoun PS) alleges that he took Baby Devi along with her son to her home at Village- Jhitka in his security and when they reached the village, when petitioner along with her husband Mithlesh and 20-25 unknown accused obstructed the informant in discharging his lawful duty.

4. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that petitioner has been falsely implicated in the instant case by the informant, it is next submitted that since Baby Devi was brought to the village by the police, as such, the villagers raised slogan against her and petitioner also came to the place of occurrence, but then it is submitted that no specific allegation of assault or abuse is alleged, rather the allegations are general and omnibus in nature.

5. Learned A.P.P. for the State opposes the prayer for anticipatory bail of the petitioner.

6. Considering the submissions made by the learned counsel for the petitioner, the petitioner above-named, in the event of his arrest or surrender within a period of six weeks from today, be released on anticipatory bail on furnishing bail bonds of Rs. 10,000/- (Rupees Ten Thousand) with two sureties of the like amount each to the satisfaction of the learned trial court where the case is pending/successor court in connection

WEB COPY

with Rajoun P.S. Case No. 480 of 2023 subject to the conditions as laid down under Section 482 (2) of the BNSS.

**7. Accordingly, the instant anticipatory bail application stands allowed.**

**(Satyavrat Verma, J)**

Nitesh/-

| | | | |
|---|---|---|---|
| **U** | | T | |

WEB COPY