

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
CRIMINAL MISCELLANEOUS No.72299 of 2025

Arising Out of PS. Case No.-77 Year-2025 Thana- HASPURA District- Aurangabad

Lavkush Paswan S/o Sri Shivbachan Paswan R/o vill - Chanhat, P.S.-
Haspura, Distt.- Aurangabad

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

The State of Bihar

... .. Opposite Party/s

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s : Ms. Vaishnavi Singh, Advocate

For the Opposite Party/s : Mr. Rajendra Nath Jha, APP

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE SATYAVRAT VERMA
ORAL ORDER

- 2 11-02-2026 1. Heard learned counsel for the petitioner, learned A.P.P. for the State and the learned counsel appearing on behalf of the informant.
2. The petitioner apprehends his arrest in a case registered for the offences punishable under Sections 191(2), 191(3), 190, 126(2), 115(2), 117(2), 109(1), 103(1), 76 and 303(2) of the Bharatiya Nyaya Sanhita.
3. The learned counsel for the petitioner submits that petitioner is a person with clean antecedent and the informant alleges that she had gone to attend nature's call, when Randhir and Ranjan came and started acting inappropriately with her, on alarm, father-in-law and cousin father-in-law of the informant came, when accused persons fled, further her father-in-law, Ramshakal, went to the house of the accused along with some



people when Randhir assaulted him by sword causing injury on head, further Ranjan assaulted Chandradeep with lathi, danda and sword causing injury, thereafter the accused Vikash also assaulted Chandradeep and Suresh by lathi, danda and sword, further police was informed and the injured were taken to hospital but Chandradeep died.

4. The learned counsel for the petitioner submits that petitioner has been falsely implicated in the instant case by the informant. It is further submitted that the FIR was instituted against 33 named accused persons including the petitioner along with unknown. It is next submitted that from perusal of the allegation as alleged in the FIR, it would manifest that no specific allegation is alleged against the petitioner rather allegation of assaulting Chandradeep is against Ranjan and Vikash, who died subsequently. It is further submitted that petitioner will not abscond rather will cooperate in the investigation to prove his innocence.

5. Learned A.P.P. for the State as well as learned counsel appearing on behalf of the informant opposes the anticipatory bail application of the petitioner, but then the learned counsel appearing on behalf of the informant is not in a position to rebut the submission of the learned counsel



appearing on behalf of the petitioner that no specific allegation of assault is alleged against the petitioner and that specific allegation of assaulting Chandradeep leading to his death is against Ranjan and Vikash.

6. Considering the submissions made by the learned counsel for the petitioner, the petitioner above-named, in the event of his arrest or surrender within a period of six weeks from today, be released on anticipatory bail on furnishing bail bonds of Rs. 10,000/- (Rupees Ten Thousand) with two sureties of the like amount each to the satisfaction of the learned trial court where the case is pending/successor court in connection with Haspura P.S. Case No. 77 of 2025, subject to the conditions as laid down under Section 482 (2) of the BNSS.

(Satyavrat Verma, J)

Rishabh/-

U		T	
---	--	---	--

