

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
CRIMINAL MISCELLANEOUS No.72719 of 2025

Arising Out of PS. Case No.-77 Year-2025 Thana- HASPURA District- Aurangabad

1. Tetar Paswan Son of Late Mahadev Paswan Resident of village - Chanhath, P.S. Haspura, District - Aurangabad
2. Uday Ram @ Uday Paswan Son of Late Mahadeo Paswan Resident of village - Chanhath, P.S. Haspura, District - Aurangabad
3. Jitan Paswan Son of Late Lakhan Paswan Resident of village - Chanhath, P.S. Haspura, District - Aurangabad
4. Suraj Paswan Son of Late Kariman Paswan Resident of village - Chanhath, P.S. Haspura, District - Aurangabad
5. Sajan Kumar @ Sajan Paswan @ Rajan Paswan Son of Sri Ramjeet Paswan Resident of village - Chanhath, P.S. Haspura, District - Aurangabad
6. Subas Paswan Son of Sri Ramjeet Paswan Resident of village - Chanhath, P.S. Haspura, District - Aurangabad
7. Ramjeet Paswan son of Late Mahavir Paswan Resident of village - Chanhath, P.S. Haspura, District - Aurangabad
8. Anil Kumar @ Anil Paswan Son of Sri Uday Paswan @ Uday Ram Resident of village - Chanhath, P.S. Haspura, District - Aurangabad
9. Manish Paswan Son of Sri Uday Paswan @ Uday Ram Resident of village - Chanhath, P.S. Haspura, District - Aurangabad

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

The State of Bihar

... .. Opposite Party/s

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s : Ms. Vaishnavi Singh, Advocate
For the Opposite Party/s : Mr. Manoj Kumar, APP

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE SATYAVRAT VERMA
ORAL ORDER

- 2 11-02-2026 1. Heard learned counsel for the petitioners, learned A.P.P. for the State and the learned counsel appearing on behalf of the informant.
2. The learned counsel for the petitioners, at the outset, seeks permission to withdraw the anticipatory bail



application with respect to petitioner no. 4 (Suraj Paswan) who was arrested during pendency of the instant anticipatory bail application.

2. The petitioners apprehend their arrest in a case registered for the offences punishable under Sections 191(2), 191(3), 190, 126(2), 115(2), 117(2), 109(1), 103(1), 76 and 303(2) of the Bharatiya Nyaya Sanhita.

3. The learned counsel for the petitioners submits that petitioner no. 3 has antecedent of one case and petitioner nos. 1, 2, 5, 6, 7, 8 and 9 are persons with clean antecedent and the informant alleges that she had gone to attend nature's call, when Randhir and Ranjan came and started acting inappropriately with her, on alarm, father-in-law and cousin father-in-law of the informant came, when accused persons fled, further her father-in-law, Ramshakal, went to the house of the accused along with some people when Randhir assaulted him by sword causing injury on head, further Ranjan assaulted Chandradeep with lathi, danda and sword causing injury, thereafter the accused Vikash also assaulted Chandradeep and Suresh by lathi, danda and sword, further police was informed and the injured were taken to hospital but Chandradeep died.

4. The learned counsel for the petitioners submits



that petitioners have been falsely implicated in the instant case by the informant. It is further submitted that the FIR was instituted against 33 named accused persons including the petitioners along with unknown. It is next submitted that from perusal of the allegation as alleged in the FIR, it would manifest that no specific allegation is alleged against the petitioners rather allegation of assaulting Chandradeep is against Ranjan and Vikash, who died subsequently. It is further submitted that petitioners will not abscond rather will cooperate in the investigation to prove their innocence.

5. Learned A.P.P. for the State as well as learned counsel appearing on behalf of the informant opposes the anticipatory bail application of the petitioners, but then the learned counsel appearing on behalf of the informant is not in a position to rebut the submission of the learned counsel appearing on behalf of the petitioners that no specific allegation of assault is alleged against the petitioners and that specific allegation of assaulting Chandradeep leading to his death is against Ranjan and Vikash.

6. Considering the submissions made by the learned counsel for the petitioners, the petitioners above-named, in the event of their arrest or surrender within a period of six weeks



from today, be released on anticipatory bail on furnishing bail bonds of Rs. 10,000/- (Rupees Ten Thousand) each with two sureties of the like amount each to the satisfaction of the learned trial court where the case is pending/successor court in connection with Haspura P.S. Case No. 77 of 2025, subject to the conditions as laid down under Section 482 (2) of the BNSS.

(Satyavrat Verma, J)

Rishabh/-

U		T	
---	--	---	--

