IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
CRIMINAL MISCELLANEOUS No.75598 of 2026

CNR No. BRHC011033962026

Arising Out of PS. Case No.-155 Year-2026 Thana- MAJORGANJ District- Sitamarhi

==========

Pankaj Kumar Singh @ Pankaj Singh S/O Nand Kishore Singh Resident of Village- Dumri Kala (Madhuvan Jadu), P.S.- Majorganj, Dist- Sitamarhi.

... ... Petitioner/s

Versus

The State of Bihar

... ... Opposite Party/s

==========

Appearance :
For the Petitioner/s : Mr. Ashok Kumar Jha, Advocate
For the Opposite Party/s : Mr. Rajendra Singh, APP

==========

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE PRAVEEN KUMAR

ORAL ORDER

2 28-10-2026 Heard learned counsel for the petitioner and learned Additional Public Prosecutor for the State.

2. The petitioner is apprehending his arrest in connection with Majorganj P.S. Case No. 155 of 2026 dated 21.04.2026 registered for the offences punishable under Sections 126(2), 115(2), 303(2), 352, 351(2), 351(3) and 3(5) of the B.N.S.

3. As per the allegation, the informant demanded his share in the property from his father, when the petitioner along with other co-accused persons came variously armed with *lathi*, *danda* and *dabiya* (sharp cutting weapon) and started abusing and assaulting the informant, when wife of the informant came to rescue him, she too was assaulted and the accused persons

WEB COPY

took her *mangalsutra*. It has further been alleged that co-accused Umesh Singh and Nand Kishore Singh caught hold the informant, when the petitioner is alleged to have assaulted the informant on the head by means of *dabiya.* Thereafter, the informant became unconscious and the informant and his wife were taken to referral hospital for treatment.

4. Learned counsel for the petitioner has submitted that the petitioner has falsely been implicated in this case. It has further been submitted that the informant himself was creating disturbance in the family by asking for a partition, when the same is jointly possessed by all the persons. It has further been submitted that although the petitioner is alleged to have assaulted by means of *dabiya* (sharp cutting weapon), but the injury report, which has been discussed in the impugned order, goes to show that the injured informant had received one lacerated wound over the left parietal region and another bruise over the right shoulder and the same was to be caused by hard and blunt substance, which was opined to be simple in nature. It has further been submitted that the injury report does not support the allegation against the petitioner. It has lastly been submitted that the petitioner was made accused in one another case, but he is on bail in that case.

WEB COPY

5. Learned A.P.P. for the State has vehemently opposed the prayer for anticipatory bail of the petitioner.

6. Heard the parties and perused the record.

7. Considering the facts and circumstances of the case as well as the nature of injury and that the opinion as mentioned in the injury report does not corroborate the allegation levelled against the petitioner, let the above named petitioner, in the event of his arrest/surrender within a period of six weeks from today, be enlarged on anticipatory bail on furnishing bail-bond of Rs. 10,000/- (Rupees ten thousand) with two sureties of the like amount each to the satisfaction of the learned A.C.J.M.-I, Sitamarhi, in connection with Majorganj P.S. Case No. 155 of 2026, subject to conditions as laid down under section 482(2) of the B.N.S.S., with the following conditions:-

i. One of the bailors of the petitioner should be close relative.

ii. The petitioner is directed to co-operate in the trial and be well represented on each and every date fixed in the trial and failure to appear on two consecutive dates, the court below would be at liberty to cancel the bail bonds of the petitioner.

iii. It is further directed that the petitioner will not tamper with the evidence and if he does so appropriate

WEB COPY

application be filed by the prosecution side.

8. The application stands allowed.

(Praveen Kumar, J)

Aarti/-

U		T	

WEB COPY