

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
CRIMINAL MISCELLANEOUS No.72366 of 2025

Arising Out of PS. Case No.-426 Year-2025 Thana- NAUBATPUR District- Patna

1. Sita Devi W/O Vijay Yadav @ Vijay Prasad Resident of Village- Regamia, P.S.- Naubatpur, District- Patna
2. Niraj Kumar S/O Vijay Yadav @ Vijay Prasad Resident of Village- Regamia, P.S.- Naubatpur, District- Patna
3. Chunu Kumar S/O Vijay Yadav @ Vijay Prasad Resident of Village- Regamia, P.S.- Naubatpur, District- Patna
4. Rahul Kumar S/O Vijay Yadav @ Vijay Prasad Resident of Village- Regamia, P.S.- Naubatpur, District- Patna

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

1. The State of Bihar
2. Birendra Kumar S/O Late Bishwanath Ram R/O Vill.- Tishkhora, Geda Tar, P.S.- Naubatpur, Dist.- Patna

... .. Opposite Party/s

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s : Mr. Anant Kumar Pandey, Advocate
For the Opposite Party/s : Mr. Ahmad Ali, APP

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE PRABHAT KUMAR SINGH
ORAL ORDER

3 13-01-2026 Despite the valid service of notice no one appeared
on behalf of the O.P. No. 2.

2. Heard learned counsel for the petitioners and
the learned A.P.P. for the State.

3. The petitioners apprehend their arrest in a case
registered for the offence under Sections 126(2), 127(2),
115(2), 75, 76, 78, 137(2), 62, 352, 351(2), 3(5) of the
Bhartiya Nyaya Sanhita and Sections 3(1)r), (s),(w1), (w2),
3(2) (va) of the SC/ST Act and Sections 8 and 12 of the



POCSO Act.

4. It is alleged that on 24.07.2025, co-accused persons namely Bhawani Yadav and Mukul Yadav with bad intention, tried to take away the minor daughter of informant and her friends on motorcycle. When the informant went to confront the same at their house, then petitioner no. 1 abused by taking caste name and other petitioners started assaulting and abusing him.

5. Learned counsel for the petitioners submits that petitioners are innocent and have committed no offence as alleged by the informant. Specific allegation is against co-accused Bhawani Yadav and Mukul Yadav. Petitioners are only alleged to have abused the informant. Petitioner no. 1 is mother of co-accused Mukul Yadav and petitioner nos. 2, 3 and 4 are brothers of co-accused Mukul Yadav. There is general and omnibus allegation against the petitioners.

6. Learned A.P.P. for the State opposed the bail petition of the petitioners.

7. Considering the facts and circumstance of the case, the prayer for anticipatory bail of petitioners is allowed. Let the above named petitioners, in the event of their arrest/surrender within a period of eight weeks from today, be enlarged on bail



on furnishing bail-bonds of Rs. 10,000/- (ten thousand) each with two sureties of the like amount each to the satisfaction of learned Additional District and Sessions Judge VII-cum-Special Exclusive Judge, POCSO Act, Patna in connection with Naubatpur P.S. Case No. 426 of 2025, subject to condition as laid down under Section 482 of the Bhartiya Nagrik Suraksha Sanhita, 2023.

(Prabhat Kumar Singh, J)

khushbu/-

U		T	
---	--	---	--

