IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
CRIMINAL MISCELLANEOUS No.72352 of 2026

CNR No. BRHC011032472026

Arising Out of PS. Case No.-258 Year-2026 Thana- BIBHUTIPUR District- Samastipur

==========

Mukesh Kumar Sah S/o Hari Lal Sah R/o Village - Chaita Ward No. 04, P.S - Angar Ghat, District - Samastipur

... ... Petitioner/s

Versus

The State of Bihar

... ... Opposite Party/s

==========

Appearance :
For the Petitioner/s : Mr. Shubhesh Pandey, Adv.
For the Opposite Party/s : Mr. Rabindra Kumar, APP
: Mr. Tarun Kumar, Adv.

==========

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE SATYAVRAT VERMA

ORAL ORDER

2 04-11-2026 1. Heard learned counsel for the petitioner, learned A.P.P. for the State, Mr. Rabindra Kumar and the learned counsel appearing on behalf of the informant.

2. The petitioner apprehends his arrest in a case registered for the offences punishable under Sections 124(2), 352, 351(2) and 3(5) of the Bharatiya Nyaya Sanhita.

3. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that petitioner is a person with clean antecedent and the informant alleges that he runs a jewellery shop at Singhia Ghat, further on 09.06.2026 at about 4:00 PM on account of business rivalry, his neighboring jewellery shop owner Gopal Kumar Sah along with his brother (petitioner) threw acid on his face and hand causing

WEB COPY

burn injuries.

4. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that petitioner has been falsely implicated in the instant case by the informant. It is next submitted that from perusal of the allegations as alleged in the FIR, it would manifest that informant alleges that on account of business rivalry, the occurrence was committed. It is also submitted that the jewellery shop which is adjacent to the shop of the informant belongs to the brother of the petitioner namely Gopal Kumar Sah and the petitioner has no concern with the shop. It is next submitted that though informant alleges that he was attacked by acid on account of which he suffered burn injury, but then it was informant who was carrying acid on account of which he suffered the injuries as alleged in the FIR, further the brother of the petitioner Gopal Kumar Sah also suffered burn injuries on account of acid attack. It is reiterated and submitted that it was informant who threw acid on his brother, but then while throwing the acid placed on his face and hand causing injuries and the informant took the same as an opportunity to implicate the petitioner in the instant occurrence with an intent to coerce his brother Gopal into submission.

5. Learned A.P.P. for the State and the learned counsel

WEB COPY

appearing on behalf of the informant oppose the prayer for anticipatory bail of the petitioner.

6. The learned counsel appearing on behalf of the informant submits that what is not in dispute rather stands admitted is that informant suffered burn injuries on account of acid attack and there is a specif allegation against Gopal and the petitioner of committing the occurrence.

7. At this stage, the learned APP, Mr. Rabindra Kumar submits that investigation is continuing and if petitioner is innocent, the police will submit final form exonerating the petitioner of the allegation.

8. Considering the submissions made by the learned counsel appearing on behalf of the informant and the learned APP, the court is not inclined to extend the privilege of anticipatory bail to the petitioner.

9. **Accordingly, the application stands rejected.**

(Satyavrat Verma, J)

rajesh/-

U		T	

WEB COPY