

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
CRIMINAL MISCELLANEOUS No.76668 of 2025

Arising Out of PS. Case No.-95 Year-2025 Thana- LAUHIYANAGAR District- Begusarai

Jitendra Kumar @ Puttan Singh @ Jitendra Kumar Singh S/O Late
Madhusudan Prasad Singh @ Madhusudan Singh R/O Village - 83 -
Hanuman Tola, Sonbarsa Raj, Ward No. - 5, P.S. Sonbarsa Raj, District -
Saharsa. .

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

The State of Bihar

... .. Opposite Party/s

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s : Mr. Rajnish Kumar Singh, Advocate
For the State : Ms. Renu Kumari, APP

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE JITENDRA KUMAR
ORAL ORDER

5 24-02-2026 Heard learned counsel for the petitioner and learned
APP for the State.

2. The petitioner seeks bail, apprehending his arrest,
in connection with Lohiyanagar P.S. Case No. 95 of 2025, dated
17.08.2025, registered for the offences punishable under
Sections 25(1-a)/ 25(1-B)a/ 26 and 35 of the Arms Act.

3. As per allegation, total 4000 cartridges have been
recovered from illegal possession of co-accused-Ravish Singh
and as per confessional statement of co-accused- Ravish Singh,
he was going to supply the same to the petitioner and Manoj
Yadav.

4. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that the
petitioner is innocent and has falsely been implicated in this



case. He further submits that confessional statement of co-accused before the police against the petitioner has no evidentiary value, because confessional statement of co-accused before the police is not admissible and hence, it cannot be proved in the trial. Hence, the material upon which the petitioner has been implicated is not legal and besides this illegal material, there is no any admissible evidence against this petitioner.

5. It is also stated in paragraph no. 2 of the bail petition that the petitioner has not moved this Court earlier either for anticipatory bail or regular one in the instant case.

6. It has further been stated in paragraph no.3 of the bail petition that the petitioner has four criminal antecedents.

7. However, learned APP for the State vehemently opposes the prayer of the Petitioner for bail.

8. Considering the aforesaid facts and circumstances, **this petition is allowed**, directing the petitioner, above-named, to be enlarged on bail, in the event of his arrest or surrender before the court below within a period of eight weeks from the date of receipt / production of a copy of this order, on his furnishing bail bonds in the sum of Rs. 10,000/- (Ten Thousand) with two sureties of the like amount each to the satisfaction of



learned concerned Court Below, in connection with Lohiyanagar P.S. Case No. 95 of 2025, subject to the conditions as laid down under Section 482 (2) of the B.N.S.S., 2023 and on the following conditions:

(i) In case, it is brought to the notice of the court below that the petitioner has any criminal antecedents other than the disclosed one, learned court below shall cancel the bail bonds of the petitioner after hearing him and getting satisfied that the petitioner has concealed his criminal antecedents despite his knowledge of the same.

(ii) In case, it is brought to the notice of the court below that statement regarding previous bail petition is wrong, learned court below shall cancel the bail bonds of the petitioner.

(Jitendra Kumar, J.)

ravishankar/-

U		T	
---	--	---	--

