

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
CRIMINAL MISCELLANEOUS No.70789 of 2025

Arising Out of PS. Case No.-115 Year-2025 Thana- AMDABAD District- Katihar

Suresh Singh Son of Jamun Singh @ Jamuna Singh, Resident of Village
-Chokchamma Khatti Bandh Tola, P.S. - Amdabad, District Katihar.

... .. Petitioner

Versus

The State of Bihar

... .. Opposite Party

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s : Mr. Bimal Kumar, Advocate

For the Opposite Party/s : Mr. Narendra Kumar Singh, APP

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE ARUN KUMAR JHA
ORAL ORDER

3 06-01-2026 Heard learned counsel for the petitioner and learned
A.P.P. for the State.

2. In the present case, the petitioner seeks bail in connection with Amdabad P.S. Case No. 115 of 2025 dated 07.05.2025, registered for the offences punishable under Sections 126(2), 115(2), 109, 124(1), 352, 351(2), 351(3), 76, 303(2), 329(4) and 308(2) read with Section 3(5) of the B.N.S., 2023.

3. As per the prosecution case, petitioner and other co-accused entered into an altercation with the husband of the informant and they also assaulted him. When the informant went for the rescue of her husband, she was molested by the petitioner and others. Subsequently, petitioner and other co-accused persons demanded extortion money from the informant and forcibly snatched Rs. 2,500/-. The husband of the informant was also assaulted and the petitioner and other co-accused persons forcibly



caught hold of the hands and legs of the husband of the informant and co-accused Bhola Singh administered him acid.

4. Learned counsel appearing on behalf of the petitioner submits that petitioner is innocent and he has falsely been implicated in the present case. The occurrence is stated to have taken place on 01.01.2025 and 16.01.2025, but the FIR has been instituted on 07.05.2025 without any plausible explanation of the delay. It creates a serious doubt over the prosecution case. The true fact of the case is that the husband of the informant himself consumed acid and he stated this fact before the doctor and other witnesses. As a matter of fact, the husband of the informant assaulted this petitioner by iron-rod, who received serious injury and a petition was filed before Gram Kachahari, Diyara, Amdabad and panchayat decided and put up a penalty of Rs. 2,500/- against husband of the informant, who refused to pay the same and came back to his house and consumed acid. Police after investigation submitted chargesheet against the petitioner, who is in custody since 09.05.2025. Learned counsel lastly submits that petitioner is having clean antecedent.

5. Learned A.P.P. appearing on behalf of the State opposes the submissions made on behalf of the petitioner.

6. Having regard to the facts and circumstances and submissions made on behalf of the parties and considering the



doubtful nature of allegation regarding administering acid and also considering his period of custody, clean antecedent and submission of chargesheet, the petitioner, above-named, is directed to be released on bail, on furnishing bail bonds of Rs.10,000/- (Rupees Ten Thousand Only) with two sureties of the like amount each to the satisfaction of learned Judicial Magistrate 1st Class, Katihar / concerned Court, in connection with **Amdabad P.S. Case No. 115 of 2025**, subject to the condition laid down under Section 480(3) of the B.N.S.S. and other following conditions:

- (i) One of the bailors will be a close relative of the petitioner.*
- (ii) The petitioner will remain present on each and every date fixed by the court below, if so required by the learned trial Court.*
- (iii) In case of absence on three consecutive dates or in violation of the terms of the bail, the bail bond of the petitioner will be liable to be cancelled by the Court concerned.*

(Arun Kumar Jha, J)

Shahnawaz/-

U		T	
---	--	---	--

