

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA**  
**Miscellaneous Jurisdiction Case No.3648 of 2024**

**In**  
**Civil Writ Jurisdiction Case No.5608 of 2023**

- 
- 
1. Sanjay Kumar Son of Late Chandrika Prasad Yadav Resident of Tirma, P.O.- Bhawe, P.S.- Fatehpur Bhawe, District- Gaya.
  2. Satyendra Prasad, Son of Jagdish Prasad, resident of Hanuman Nagar, P.S.- Vishnupad, District- Gaya.
  3. Satish Kumar Gupta, Son of Badri Prasad, resident of Majhauili, P.O. and P.S.- Dumaria, District- Gaya.
  4. Subhash Kumar Prakash, Son of Purshottam Prasad, resident of Tekra Tola, P.O.- Bodhibigha, P.S. - Dumaria, District - Gaya.
  5. Rupa Kumari, Wife of Pramod Kumar, Resident of Narayanpur, P.O.- Maigra, P.S. - Dumariya, District Gaya.
  6. Baby Kumari, Wife of Vijay Prasad, resident of Gurua near SBI, P.S.- Gurua, District- Gaya.
  7. Upendra Kumar, Son of Bipat Saw, Resident of Narayanpur, P.O.- Maigra, P.S.- Dumariya, District- Gaya.
  8. Jitendra Kumar, son of Devnandan Prasad, Resident of Village- Nawada, P.O.- Bitibigha, P.S.- Sherghati, District - Gaya.
  9. Nirmal Kumar, Son of Vijay Prasad, resident of village- Maigra, P.S.- Dumaria, District - Gaya.
  10. Niru Kumari, Wife of Satish Kumar Gupta, resident of Manjhauili, P.S.- Dumariya, District- Gaya.
  11. Durga Prasad, Son of Dashrath Prasad, resident of Narayanpur, P.S.- Dumariya, District- Gaya.

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

1. The State of Bihar through the Principal Secretary, Education Department, Govt. of Bihar Patna namely S. Siddharth.
2. The Director, Primary Education, Bihar, Patna namely Mithilesh Mishra.
3. The District Education Officer, Gaya namely Dr. Om Prakash.
4. The District Programme Officer (Establishment), Gaya namely Vidyanand Thakur.
5. The Block Education Officer, Dumaria, Gaya namely Shailendra Kumar.

... .. Opposite Party/s

---

---

**Appearance :**

For the Petitioner/s : Mr. Mithilesh Kumar Upadhyay, Advocate  
For the Opposite Party/s : Mr. Standing Counsel 28

---

---

**CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE RAJIV ROY**



ORAL ORDER

3      07-05-2026                      Heard the parties.

2. The present petition has been preferred for the following relief(s):

*“for initiation of contempt proceeding against the contemnors/opposite parties for their willful disobedience of the order dated 04.04.2024 passed in CWJC No. 5608 of 2023.”*

3. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that he may be granted liberty to agitate the matter.

4. Granting liberty to the petitioner to agitate the matter, if the grievance still remains, the present contempt petition stands disposed of.

**(Rajiv Roy, J)**

Adnan/-

|   |  |  |  |
|---|--|--|--|
| U |  |  |  |
|---|--|--|--|

