IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
CRIMINAL MISCELLANEOUS No.75735 of 2026

CNR No. BRHC011029962026

Arising Out of PS. Case No.-50 Year-2026 Thana- BANNUBAGICHA District- Lakhisarai

==========

Amit Kumar @ Sonu Singh Son of Sanjay Singh Resident of Village- Dumri, P.S.- Barhiya, District- Lakhisarai.

... ... Petitioner/s

Versus

The State of Bihar

... ... Opposite Party/s

==========

Appearance :
For the Petitioner/s : Mr. Manoj Kumar Singh, Advocate
For the Opposite Party/s : Mr. Jitendra Singh, APP

==========

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE RAJIV ROY

ORAL ORDER

2 04-11-2026 Heard the parties.

2. The petitioner is apprehending his arrest in connection with Bannu Bagicha P.S. Case No. 50 of 2026 for the offences under section 30(a) of the Bihar Prohibition and Excise Act lodged on 28.07.2026 by the informant, Dipak Kumar Samdarshi.

3. As per the prosecution story, a toto was intercepted by the Police and there is recovery/seizure of 13 liters Mahua which led to the FIR.

4. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that it was being driven by the driver, Keshav Kumar and only because he owns the vehicle, got implicated. He has nothing to do with the alleged recovery and has no criminal antecedent.

WEB COPY

5. Further, learned counsel for the petitioner relied on the judgment of the Full Bench of Hon'ble Patna High Court in the case of **Ram Vinay Yadav vs. State of Bihar** reported in **2019 (2) PLJR 1089** wherein it has been held that an application for anticipatory bail in a case arising out of Bihar Excise and Prohibition Act can be maintained, despite the bar under Section 76(2) of the Act, if on the basis of allegation made in the FIR, no offence under the said provision is made out.

6. Learned APP opposes the prayer submitting that he owns the vehicle.

7. Taking into account the submissions of the parties and the judgment in the case of **Ram Vinay Yadav (supra)**, as also that recovery/seizure is from a toto and was being driven by Keshav Kumar, the petitioner has no criminal antecedent and nothing has been recovered form his conscious possession, in that background, this Court is inclined to extend him the privilege of anticipatory bail with conditions.

8. If, however, it is found that contrary to the statement made in paragraph-3, the petitioner has criminal antecedent, the present order shall become infructuous.

9. Let the petitioner be released on bail in the event of

WEB COPY

arrest or surrender within a period of four weeks from the receipt of this order, on furnishing bail bond of Rs. 10,000/-(Ten thousand) with two sureties of like amount each to the satisfaction of learned District and Additional Session Judge VII cum special Excise Court II, Lakhisarai in connection with Bannu Bagicha P.S. Case No. 50 of 2026 subject to the following conditions:

(i) one of the bailor should be the family member/relative of the petitioner who shall provide official document to show his/her bona fide;

(ii) the petitioner shall be appearing before the Police Station as and when required for cooperating in the investigation;

(iii) the petitioner shall appear on each and every date before the Trial Court and failure to do so for two consecutive dates without plausible reason will entail cancellation of his bail bond by the Trial Court itself;

(iv) the petitioner shall appear before the concerned police station every fortnight for six months to mark his attendance and after the end of the period, the certificate shall be submitted before the Trial Court failing which steps shall be taken for the cancellation of the bail bonds;

WEB COPY

(v) the petitioner shall in no way try to induce or promise or threat the witnesses or tamper with the evidences, failing which the State shall be at liberty to take steps for cancellation of the bail bonds;

(vi) the petitioner shall desist from committing any criminal offence again, failing which the State shall be at liberty to take steps for cancellation of his bail bonds.

(Rajiv Roy, J)

sanny/-

U			

WEB COPY