

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
Civil Writ Jurisdiction Case No.16912 of 2024

M/s Shree Ram Enterprises Office at Vill.- Manopur, P.S.- Bhagwanpur, District- Begusarai, through its Proprietor Ram Kishore Kunwar, Male, aged about 40 years, Son Braj Kishore Kunwar, Resident of Indrapuri, Road No.- 2, Near Tara Gupta Building, Ratu Road, Hehal, P.S.- Sukhdev Nagar, District- Ranchi (Jharkhand).

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

1. The State of Bihar through the Principal Secretary, Department of Industries, Government of Bihar, Patna.
2. The Infrastructure Development Authority, (Government of Bihar Undertaking), 1st Floor Udhog Bhawan, East of Gandhi Maidan, Patna, Bihar through its Managing Director.
3. The Managing Director, Infrastructure Development Authority (Government of Bihar Undertaking), 1st Floor Udhog Bhawan, East of Gandhi Maidan, Patna.
4. The Director (Programme Implementation), Infrastructure Development Authority (Government of Bihar Undertaking), 1st Floor Udhog Bhawan, East of Gandhi Maidan, Patna.
5. The Director (Finance), Infrastructure Development Authority (Government of Bihar Undertaking), 1st Floor Udhog Bhawan, East of Gandhi Maidan, Patna.
6. The Executive Engineer (Technical), Infrastructure Development Authority (Government of Bihar Undertaking), 1st Floor Udhog Bhawan, East of Gandhi Maidan, Patna.

... .. Respondent/s

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s	:	Mr. Prabhat Ranjan, Advocate
For the State	:	Mr. SC-9
For the IDA	:	Mr. Bindhyachal Singh, Sr. Advocate
		Mr. Utsav Anand, Advocate

**CORAM: HONOURABLE THE ACTING CHIEF JUSTICE
and
HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE RAJESH KUMAR VERMA
ORAL ORDER**

(Per: HONOURABLE THE ACTING CHIEF JUSTICE)

12 13-07-2026

Heard learned counsel for the parties.

2. Following is the relief sought for in the present writ



application:-

“(i) Quashing of the Order bearing Memo No. 2403/Tech. dated 06.05.2024 issued by the Director (Programme Implementation) by which without any jurisdiction and without any provision in the agreement or the tender document, the Registration of the petitioner has been debarred from participating in the future tenders till the completion of the allotted work; and

(ii) Restraining the Respondents from giving effect to the Order of Debarment bearing Memo No. 2403/Tech. dated 06.05.2024 issued by the Director (Programme Implementation) during the pendency of the present writ application and/or without the leave of this Hon’ble Court.”

3. During the pendency of the present writ application, a supplementary affidavit was filed on behalf of the petitioner, wherein following statement has been made in Para-3 & 4:-

“3. That the petitioner humbly submits before this Hon’ble Court that the work which is subject matter of instant writ application has been completed to the extent of about 85%.

4. That the petitioner undertakes before this Hon’ble Court that the Agreemented work would be completed within 30-working days.”

4. In response thereto, a reply to the supplementary affidavit has been filed on behalf of the respondents, wherein following statements has been made in Para-15:-



“15.However, if the petitioner is genuinely willing to complete the balance work within the aforesaid period of 30 working days, the respondents have no objection.....”

5. In view of the stand taken by the parties in their respective affidavits and the undertaking furnished by the petitioner, the petitioner is directed to complete the remaining work within a period of 30 days from today.

6. With the aforesaid observations and directions, the present writ application stands disposed of.

7. Pending application(s), if any, shall also stand disposed of.

(Sudhir Singh, ACJ)

(Rajesh Kumar Verma, J)

Sachin/-

U			
---	--	--	--

