

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
CRIMINAL MISCELLANEOUS No.71587 of 2025

Arising Out of PS. Case No.-337 Year-2025 Thana- JAHANABAD District- Jehanabad

Subai Yadav @ Subai Prasad S/O Chandra Deo Yadav @ Chandradeo Prasad
Resident of Village- Nisarpura, P.S-Bhelawar, Distt.- Jehanabad.

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

The State of Bihar

... .. Opposite Party/s

Appearance :

For the Petitioner	:	Mr. Madhumay Madhup, Advocate Mr. Nilabh Ranjan , Advocate
For the State	:	Mr. Uma Shankar Prasad Singh, APP

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE PRABHAT KUMAR SINGH
ORAL ORDER

6 02-02-2026 Heard learned counsel appearing on behalf of the
petitioner and learned APP appearing on behalf of the State.

2. The petitioner apprehends his arrest in a case registered for the offence punishable under Sections 140(3), 103(1), 140(1), 238 and 61(2) of the B.N.S..

3. It is alleged in the F.I.R. that on 28.04.2025, uncle of informant went to attend the Court for a case regarding land dispute but did not return and on enquiry, he came to know that his uncle has been kidnapped by some persons of Mohalla- Devoriya by a *Xylo* vehicle bearing Registration No. BR02M2363.

4. It is submitted by learned counsel appearing on behalf of the petitioner that petitioner is quite innocent and has



committed no offence. Petitioner is not named in the F.I.R. and he was not in litigating terms with the victim. Name of the petitioner transpired in this case during course of investigation and the only material that has come against him is that examination of the CCTV footage available near the place of occurrence and the mobile phone dump data, revealed the presence of several persons, including this petitioner, near the place of occurrence and on the routes through which the car which was allegedly used in kidnapping of uncle of informant was taken and this petitioner was in constant telephonic conversation with other accused persons. Save and except the same, there is no material on record to show the complicity of this petitioner in the alleged offence.

5. Learned A.P.P. for the State has vehemently opposed the prayer for grant of anticipatory bail to the petitioner.

6. Considering the facts and circumstances of the case general and omnibus nature of accusation and materials that have surfaced during course of investigation, the prayer for grant of anticipatory bail to the petitioner is allowed.

7. Accordingly, in the event of arrest/surrender within a period of eight weeks from today, let the above named



petitioner be enlarged on bail on furnishing bail-bond of Rs. 10,000/- (ten thousand) with two sureties of the like amount each to the satisfaction of learned C.J.M., Jehanabad in connection with Jehanabad P.S. Case No. 337 of 2025, subject to condition as laid down under Section 482(2) of the B.N.S.S..

(Prabhat Kumar Singh, J)

shashank/-

U		T	
---	--	---	--

