IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
CRIMINAL MISCELLANEOUS No.76785 of 2026

CNR No. BRHC011026042026

Arising Out of PS. Case No.-1 Year-2008 Thana- GOPALGANJ COMPLAINT CASE District- Gopalganj

==========

Punam Chaudhary S/o Sri Rajendra Chaudhary R/o Mohalla - Chainpatti, P.S. - Gopalganj, District - Gopalganj.

... ... Petitioner/s

Versus

1. The State of Bihar
2. Kailash Kumar S/o- Shri Kapildev Ram R/o- Kaloptuti, P.S.- Mirganj, Distt.- Gopalganj.

... ... Opposite Party/s

==========

Appearance :
For the Petitioner/s : Mr. Natraj Verma, Advocate
For the Opposite Party/s : Mr. Rajiv Nayan, APP

==========

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE AJIT KUMAR

ORAL ORDER

2 04-11-2026 Heard learned counsel for the petitioner and learned APP for the State.

2. The petitioner apprehends her arrest in connection with Complaint Case No.01 of 2008 (Tr. No.460 of 2026), registered for the offence punishable under Sections 420, 465, 466, 467, 468, 323, 324, 120B/34 of the Indian Penal Code.

3. As per the complaint case, the complainant gave an application for appointment before the petitioner and one Kalawati Devi. Thereafter, they prepared a list and counselling was also done. The final merit list was prepared and both persons took a consent letter and the original documents were submitted before them, for which a receipt was given to the

WEB COPY

complainant. When the complainant contacted the accused persons for the appointment letter, he later found that, in place of the complainant, other accused persons had been appointed on the basis of forged documents.

4. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that the petitioner is innocent and has been falsely implicated in this case. By referring to the allegations made in the complaint case, learned counsel submits that the allegation that appointment of some other person in place of the complainant was made by this petitioner on the basis of forged and fabricated documents is completely unfounded for the reason that, at the time of appointment of the Block Teachers, the petitioner was not discharging the duties of Block Education Extension Officer, Hathua, District- Gopalganj. For ulterior reasons, the petitioner has been implicated, whereas no complicity of this petitioner can be found in the matter of appointment, as the petitioner at the relevant time was connected with the appointment process in any manner. It has next been submitted that the allegations of forged appointment can always be the subject matter of investigation by the competent authorities, who are said to have appointed the alleged persons, as has been referred to by the complainant. Lastly, it is submitted that the petitioner has no

WEB COPY

criminal antecedents.

5. On the other hand, the learned APP for the State has opposed the prayer for bail of the petitioner.

6. Considering the facts and circumstances of the case and that, at the relevant point of time, the petitioner was not discharging the duties of Block Education Extension Officer and her role in the said appointment, according to her, is completely ruled out in view of Annexure-P/3, let the above named petitioner, be released on bail, in the event of her arrest or surrender before the learned Court below within a period of six weeks from today, on furnishing bail bond of Rs. 10,000/- (Rupees Ten Thousand) with two sureties of the like amount each to the satisfaction of the learned Judicial Magistrate 1st Class, Gopalganj/Successor Court in connection with Complaint Case No.01 of 2008 (Tr. No.460 of 2026) subject to the conditions as laid down under Section 482(2) of the BNSS as well as the following conditions:

> (i) One of the bailors should be the family member/relative/known of the petitioner(s) who shall provide official document/ personal affidavit to show his/her bona fide;
>
> (ii) the petitioner(s) shall appear on each and every date before the Trial Court and failure to do so for two consecutive dates without plausible reason will entail cancellation of his/her/their bail bond by the Trial Court itself;
>
> (iii) the petitioner(s) shall in no way try

WEB COPY

to induce or promise or threat the witnesses or tamper with the evidences, failing which the State shall be at liberty to take steps for cancellation of the bail bonds;

(iv) the petitioner(s) shall desist from committing any criminal offence again, failing which the State shall be at liberty to take steps for cancellation of their bail bonds.

(Ajit Kumar, J)

shikha/-

U		T	

WEB COPY