

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
CRIMINAL MISCELLANEOUS No.74943 of 2025

Arising Out of PS. Case No.-62 Year-2025 Thana- CHANDAN District- Banka

1. Jitendra Pandey @ Mallu Pandey S/O Late Devi Pandey R/O Pande Dih,
P.S.- Chandan, Dist.- Banka
2. Sarita Devi @ Ful Devi W/O Jitendra Pandey @ Mallu Pandey R/O Pande
Dih, P.S.- Chandan, Dist.- Banka

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

The State of Bihar Patna

... .. Opposite Party/s

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s	:	Mr. N.K. Agrawal, Sr. Advocate
	:	Mr. Mithilesh Kumar Upadhyay, Advocate
	:	Mr. Manjesh Raj, Advocate
	:	Mr. Raju Prasad, Advocate
For the State	:	Mr. Md. Matloob Rab, APP
For the Informant	:	Mr. Rajesh Kumar Sinha, Advocate

CORAM: HONOURABLE JUSTICE SMT. SONI SHRIVASTAVA
ORAL ORDER

5 13-02-2026 Heard learned Senior counsel for the petitioners,

learned APP for the State and learned counsel for the informant.

2. The petitioners seeks bail in a case registered for

the offence punishable under Sections 80(2) and 3(5) of the

B.N.S.

3. As per the prosecution case, the petitioners along

with other co-accused persons are said to have tortured and

killed the sister of the informant due to non-fulfillment of

dowry demand.

4. Learned Senior counsel for the petitioners has

submitted that the First Information Report is based on



suspicion and general and omnibus allegations have been made against all the accused persons including present petitioners, who happen to be the father-in-law and mother-in-law of the deceased. It has further been submitted that as a matter of fact, deceased had committed suicide and it is on account of some matrimonial discord and these petitioners are nowhere responsible for the alleged offence. It is also a fact that the sisters-in-law of the deceased were also made accused in this case against whom the police has not proceeded while filing chare-sheet. The petitioners are in custody since 14.06.2025 and they have no criminal antecedents and they also undertake to cooperate in the trial. It has been specifically submitted that the husband of the deceased is in custody and his bail application was also rejected by the learned Court below by the same order dated 26.08.2025.

5. Learned APP for the State as well as learned counsel for the informant have vehemently opposed the grant of bail. Learned counsel for the informant submits that these petitioners are also responsible for causing the death of the deceased.

6. Taking into consideration the facts and circumstances and considering the fact that there are general



and omnibus allegations against the petitioner, who are in-laws and the husband of the deceased, who is primarily responsible for the welfare of the wife is already in custody, let the above named petitioners, be released on bail on furnishing bail bond of Rs. 10,000/- (Rupees Ten Thousand) each with two sureties of the like amount each to the satisfaction of the learned Chief Judicial Magistrate, Banka/concerned Court below in connection with Chandan Nagar P.S. Case No. 62 of 2025.

(Soni Shrivastava, J)

anand/-

U		T	
---	--	---	--

