

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
CRIMINAL MISCELLANEOUS No.70076 of 2025

Arising Out of PS. Case No.-63 Year-2025 Thana- CHANDAN District- Banka

Ashok Yadav Son of Bharat Yadav Resident of village - Luritand, P.S.-
Chandan, District - Banka, Bihar.

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

The State of Bihar

... .. Opposite Party/s

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s	:	Mrs. Shruti Singh
		Mr. Masoom Raza
For the Opposite Party/s	:	Mr. Surendra Prasad Singh

CORAM: HONOURABLE JUSTICE SMT. SONI SHRIVASTAVA
ORAL ORDER

4 10-02-2026 Heard learned counsel for the petitioner and learned
Additional Public Prosecutor for the State.

2. The petitioner seeks bail in a case registered for the offence punishable under Sections 191(2), 191(3), 190, 126(2), 115(2), 118(1), 117(2), 109(1), 352, 351(2) and (3) of the B.N.S.

3. The petitioner along with other co-accused persons are said to have assaulted the informant with Tanga, lathi and sharp edged weapon due to which he sustained head injury.

4. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that the present incident took place out of a dispute with regard to flowing of the drainage water and when the petitioner opposed the act of the informant, a free fight occurred between the parties. It is further submitted that there is a case and counter case on both the sides and even upon perusal of the materials



collected during the course of investigation, it would transpire from both paragraphs-37 and 56 of the case diary, that both the parties are neighbors and there is a dispute between them with regard to filling of soil and in such a physical altercation, some people became injured, however, there is no specific allegation on the petitioner with regard to assault. So far as injuries are concerned, most of the injured persons have sustained simple injuries but the for the informant himself whose injury also discloses some hemorrhagic contusions in right temporal region but it does not clearly indicate the same to be a grievous injury. Further, the petitioner is in custody since 19.06.2025 and charges have already been framed, however no witness has been examined till date, as such there is no likelihood of the conclusion of the trial.

5. Learned APP for the State has opposed the application for bail.

6. Taking into consideration the facts and circumstances and also considering that fact that the petitioner is in custody since 19.06.2025 and the charges have already been framed, let the above named petitioner, be enlarged on bail on furnishing bail bond of Rs.10,000/- (Rupees Ten Thousand) with two sureties of the like amount each to the satisfaction of the



learned court below where the case is pending/successor court in connection with Chandan P.S. Case No. 63 of 2025, subject to the condition that the petitioner shall appear on each and every date till conclusion of trial and if the petitioner does not appear on two consecutive dates without any substantial and satisfactory reason, the learned court below would be at liberty to cancel his bail bonds.

(Soni Shrivastava, J)

devendra/-

U		T	
---	--	---	--

