IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
CRIMINAL MISCELLANEOUS No.75579 of 2026

CNR No. BRHC011024882026

Arising Out of PS. Case No.-218 Year-2026 Thana- ROH District- Nawada

==========

RAUSHAN KHATOON Wife of Md. Rahmat Resident of Village- Roh, P.S.- Roh, District- Nawada.

... ... Petitioner

Versus

The State of Bihar

... ... Opposite Party

==========

Appearance :
For the Petitioner : Mr. Brahmaputra Singh Ishu, Advocate
Ms. Poonam Kumari, Advocate
For the Opposite Party : Mr. Ganesh Prasad Singh, APP

==========

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE PRAVEEN KUMAR

ORAL ORDER

2 28-10-2026 Heard learned counsel for the petitioner and learned Additional Public Prosecutor for the State.

2. This application for anticipatory bail arises out of Roh P.S. Case No. 218 of 2026, registered for the offence punishable under Section 69, 126(2), 352, 351(2) and 3(5) of the Bharatiya Nyaya Sanhita.

3. The case of the prosecution is that the informant was physically exploited by the co-accused Md. Armaan, who is the son of the present petitioner, on the false pretext of marriage and while once they were caught in compromising position, the informant insisted for marriage, which was declined by the parents of the boy, who also threatened the family members of the informant of dire consequences.

WEB COPY

4. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that the thrust of the allegation is against co-accused Md. Arman, the son of the present petitioner, who allegedly established physical relationship with the informant on false pretext of marriage. It has further been submitted that the informant is a major lady and since both of them were caught in a compromising position, the petitioner allegedly scolded them. It has further been submitted that the petitioner is a lady and the offence under Section 69 BNS would not be made out as against her. It has lastly been submitted that the petitioner has got no criminal antecedents.

5. Learned counsel for the State opposed the prayer of anticipatory bail of the petitioner.

6. Heard the parties and perused the record.

7. Considering the facts and circumstances of the case and the fact that the thrust of the allegation is against co-accused son of the petitioner and the petitioner being a lady, let the petitioner above named, in the event of her arrest/surrender within six weeks from today in the Court below, be released on bail on furnishing bail bond of Rs. 10,000/- (Ten Thousand) with two sureties of the like amount each to the satisfaction of the learned JMFC, Nawada, in connection with Roh P.S. Case

WEB COPY

No. 218 of 2026, subject to the conditions as laid down under Section 482(2) of the Bharatiya Nagrik Suraksha Sanhita.

8. This application is accordingly allowed.

(Praveen Kumar, J)

Pawan/-

U		T	

WEB COPY