

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
CRIMINAL MISCELLANEOUS No.71146 of 2025

Arising Out of PS. Case No.-70 Year-2025 Thana- SALAIYA District- Aurangabad

Rohit Kumar @ Rokit Kumar S/O Tapeswar Sao, Resident of Village-
Pirthu, P.S- Salaiya, District- Aurangabad.

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

The State of Bihar

... .. Opposite Party/s

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s : Mr. Binod Kumar Pandey, Advocate

For the Opposite Party/s : Mr. Shailendra Kumar Singh, APP

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE KHATIM REZA
ORAL ORDER

5 21-01-2026 Heard learned counsel for the petitioner and learned
A.P.P. for the State.

2. The petitioner seeks bail in connection with Salaiya
P.S. Case No. 70 of 2025 dated 12.07.2025 instituted for the
offence punishable under Sections 96 of the Bhartiya Nyaya
Sanhita, 2023.

3. The allegation against the petitioner is that he had
forcibly taken the niece of the informant in an Auto and went to an
unknown place where he misbehaved with her.

4. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that the
petitioner is innocent and he has been falsely implicated in this
case. It is further submitted that the petitioner and the victim were
caught by the police from Anugrah Narayan Railway Station. The
victim was examined by the police and she clearly stated that since
last two years, she is in love with the petitioner and she with her



own sweet will, has solemnized marriage with the petitioner. Lastly, it has been submitted that the petitioner is in custody since 14.07.2025 having no criminal antecedents. Charge-sheet has been submitted in the case.

5. On the other hand, learned A.P.P. for the State has vehemently opposed the prayer for bail.

6. Perused the statement of the victim recorded under Section 183 B.N.S.S. in which she has supported the case of the prosecution.

7. Considering the aforesaid facts and allegation levelled against the petitioner, I am not inclined to grant bail to the petitioner at this stage.

8. Accordingly the prayer for grant of regular bail is rejected.

9. The learned trial court is directed to expedite the trial and take all endeavour to conclude the trial at the earliest, preferably, within a period of one (1) year from the date of receipt or production of a copy of this order. In the event, the trial is not concluded within the stipulated period, the petitioner shall be at liberty to renew his prayer for bail after the expiry of one year.

(Khatim Reza, J)

premchand/-

U		T	
---	--	---	--

