IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
CRIMINAL MISCELLANEOUS No.72555 of 2026

CNR No. BRHC011018002026

Arising Out of PS. Case No.-217 Year-2025 Thana- SURYAPURA District- Rohtas

==========

Surendra Singh S/o Late Deorishi Singh R/o Village- Gausaldih, PS- Suryapur, Dist- Rohtas

... ... Petitioner/s

Versus

The State of Bihar

... ... Opposite Party/s

==========

Appearance :
For the Petitioner/s : Mr. Yogesh Chandra Verma, Sr. Adv.
Mr.Sunil Kumar Singh, Adv.
For the Opposite Party/s : Mr. Mrityunjay Kumar Gautam, APP

==========

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE HARISH KUMAR

ORAL ORDER

2 06-11-2026 Heard Mr. Yogesh Chandra Verma, learned Senior Advocate appearing on behalf of the petitioner, and learned Additional Public Prosecutor for the State.

2. The petitioner apprehends his arrest in connection with Suryapura P.S. Case No. 217 of 2025, registered for the offences punishable under Sections 191(2), 190, 126(2), 115(2), 118(1), 117(2), 109, 351(2), 352 of the BNS.

3. This is the second attempt made on behalf of the petitioner as earlier the prayer for anticipatory bail of the petitioner came to be rejected by this Court in Criminal Miscellaneous No. 91311 of 2025, considering the specific accusation that the petitioner, Surendra Singh assaulted the

WEB COPY

brother of the informant by means of bhala, due to which he sustained a head injury.

4. Learned Senior Advocate submitted that on the last occasion, while considering the prayer for bail of the petitioner, this Court has failed to consider that the nature of injury, though has been found to be grievous in nature, is caused by a hard and blunt substance, and as such the allegation of inflicting injury from bhala does not stand corroborated. It is also submitted that both the informant and the petitioner are own cousin, and the dispute has arisen on account of a land dispute, due to which some scuffle took place, besides other co-accused persons have been extended the privilege of anticipatory bail. Referring to the various annexures, it is submitted that the petitioner is a senior citizen and suffering from various ailments.

5. The aforesaid contention advanced by the learned Senior Advocate has been refuted by learned counsel for the State, who submits that, once the petitioner's prayer for anticipatory bail has been rejected by this Court, no fresh or overwhelming circumstances have been brought on record warranting reconsideration of the matter.

6. Considering the rival submissions advanced by learned Senor Advocate/Advocate of the respective parties, this

WEB COPY

Court does not find any reason or occasion to reconsider the order passed by this Court on 28.01.2026, whereby the prayer for anticipatory bail of petitioner, Surendra Singh was turned down, taking note of the specific accusation that it is the petitioner who inflicted bhala blow, due to which the brother of the informant sustained grievous injury.

7. Accordingly, the bail application stands rejected.

8. It is made clear that if the petitioner surrenders preferably within a period of six weeks from today before the jurisdictional court, his prayer for bail shall be considered without being prejudiced by the order of this Court.

(Harish Kumar, J)

Anjani/-

U		T	

WEB COPY