

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
CRIMINAL MISCELLANEOUS No.73424 of 2025

Arising Out of PS. Case No.-285 Year-2013 Thana- TEGHRHA District- Begusarai

Kamleshwari Thakur @ Vikash @ Ranjeet @ Sanesh S/O Late Fulo Thakur
Resident of Vill.- Nonpur, P.S.- Teghra, Dist.- Begusarai At Present address
Harichak, P.S.- Bhagwanpur, Dist.- Begusarai

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

The State of Bihar

... .. Opposite Party/s

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s : Mr.Ashok Kumar, Adv.

For the Opposite Party/s : Mrs.Pushpa Sinha.1, APP

CORAM: HONOURABLE JUSTICE SMT. SONI SHRIVASTAVA
ORAL ORDER

5 16-02-2026 Heard learned counsel for the petitioner and learned
APP for the State.

2. A prayer for bail has been made on behalf of the petitioner in connection with S.Tr. No. 430 of 2025 arising out of Teghra P.S. Case no.285 of 2013 registered under sections 147, 148, 149, 385, 387 and 506 of IPC and 17/20 of U.P. Act.

3. Allegation in the F.I.R is that petitioner demanded ransom from the head of Uttar Bihar Madhya Zonal Committee on the gun point.

4. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that the name of the petitioner has transpired in this case only on the basis of spy information, having disclosed the name of one Sanesh, however, name of this petitioner is Kamleshwari Thakur and not



Sanesh and he was remanded in the present case also. It is further submitted that no substantial material has been collected during course of investigation and no recovery has been made from his possession. The petitioner is in custody since 21.10.2024 and undertakes to cooperate in the case/trial. Charges have been framed in the case.

5. The application for bail is opposed by learned A.P.P. for the State on the ground that petitioner has several criminal antecedents. In response, learned counsel for the petitioner submits that petitioner has been falsely implicated in the false and frivolous like present case.

6. Taking into consideration the aforesaid facts and circumstances of the case and also considering the fact that no tangible material has transpired against the petitioner during course of investigation and charges having been framed on 18.07.2025 and only one witness has been examined till date and there is no likelihood to conclude the trial in the near future, the petitioner is directed to be enlarged on bail in connection with S.Tr. No. 430 of 2025 arising out of Teghra P.S. Case no.285 of 2013 on furnishing bail bond of Rs.10,000/- (Rupees Ten Thousand) with two sureties of the like amount each to the satisfaction of the learned Court concerned where the case is



pending/successor Court on the following conditions:-

(I) One of the bailors of the petitioner shall be the family member/close relative of the petitioner.

(II) The petitioner shall remain physically present in the learned trial Court on each date and shall cooperate in the trial. In case the petitioner is absent on the two consecutive dates without sufficient reasons, the learned trial Court would be at liberty to cancel the bail bonds of the petitioner.

(Soni Shrivastava, J)

Harsh/-

U		T	
---	--	---	--

