# IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
**CRIMINAL MISCELLANEOUS No.71787 of 2026**

**CNR No. BRHC011014562026**

Arising Out of PS. Case No.-95 Year-2026 Thana- PIPRA District- Supaul

==========

1. Chandrashekhar Bhagat Son of Late Kamleshwari Bhagat Resident of Ward No. 08, Jhitkiyahi, P.S.- Pipra Bazar, District - Supaul, Bihar.
2. Aman Kumar @ Aman Kumar Bhagat Son of Chandrashe-Khar Bhagat Resident of Ward No. 08, Jhitkiyahi, P.S.- Pipra Bazar, District - Supaul, Bihar.

... ... Petitioner/s

Versus

The State of Bihar Bihar

... ... Opposite Party/s

==========

**Appearance :**
For the Petitioner/s : Mr.Surendra Kumar Singh, Advocate
For the Opposite Party/s : Mrs.Madhuri Lata, APP

==========

**CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE SATYAVRAT VERMA**

ORAL ORDER

2 27-10-2026 1. Heard learned counsel for the petitioners virtually connected and learned A.P.P. for the State.

2. The petitioners apprehend their arrest in a case registered for the offences punishable under Sections 109 and 3(5) of the Bharatiya Nyaya Sanhita and Section 27 of the Arms Act, 1959.

3. Learned counsel for the petitioners submits that the petitioners are persons with clean antecedent and the informant alleges that on 17.03.2026 at 09:00 PM prior to PACS election firing was made near her house with an intent to create fear and her daughters who were returning from tuition narrowly escaped

WEB COPY

the bullets, next alleges that Chandrashekhar (petitioner No. 1) is a candidate for the post of President in the PACS Election, thus his son (petitioner No.2) along with two unknown accused came on a motorcycle and fired near her house, next alleges that petitioners indulged in illegal sand mining and threatens to cast vote in their favour or not to go to the polling booth.

4. Learned counsel for the petitioners submits that petitioners have been falsely implicated in the instant case by the informant, it is next submitted that allegations are general and omnibus in nature, it is next submitted that due to PACS Election the instant false came came to the instituted by the informant at the instance of the adversary of petitioner No. 1. It is also submitted that no one was injured in the occurrence. It is further submitted that though it is alleged that petitioner indulged in illegal sand mining, but then petitioners are persons with clean antecedent.

5. Learned A.P.P. for the State opposes the prayer for anticipatory bail of the petitioners.

6. Considering the submissions made by the learned counsel for the petitioners, the petitioners above-named, in the event of their arrest or surrender within a period of six weeks from today, be released on anticipatory bail on furnishing bail

WEB COPY

bonds of Rs. 10,000/- (Rupees Ten Thousand) each with two sureties of the like amount each to the satisfaction of the learned trial court where the case is pending/successor court in connection with Pipra P.S. Case No. 95 of 2026 subject to the conditions as laid down under Section 482 (2) of the BNSS.

**7. Accordingly, the instant anticipatory bail application stands allowed.**

**(Satyavrat Verma, J)**

Nitesh/-

| | | | |
|---|---|---|---|
| **U** | | T | |

WEB COPY