IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
CRIMINAL MISCELLANEOUS No.71862 of 2026

CNR No. BRHC011014032026

Arising Out of PS. Case No.-14 Year-2026 Thana- DEEPNAGAR District- Nalanda

==========

Raju Kumar S/o Darshan Prasad R/o Village - Ram Nagar Bihta, P.S - Bihta, District - Patna

... ... Petitioner/s

Versus

The State of Bihar

... ... Opposite Party/s

==========

Appearance :
For the Petitioner/s : Mr.Dhaneshwar Prasad Gupta, Advocate
For the Opposite Party/s : Mrs.Dr. Indiwar Kumari,APP

==========

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE SATYAVRAT VERMA

ORAL ORDER

2 29-10-2026 1. Heard learned counsel for the petitioner and learned A.P.P. for the State.

2. The petitioner apprehends his arrest in a case registered for the offences punishable under Sections 21(b), 29 of the NDPS Act.

3. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that petitioner is a person with clean antecedent and the informant alleges that on 08.01.2026 he received an information that an accused is indulging in sale and purchase of brown sugar, accordingly the police forced reached the place of occurrence and an accused was apprehended, who disclosed his name as Ranjeet, on search 32 small packets containing 15.5 grams brown sugar was recovered, during search the BDO also came

WEB COPY

and Ranjeet disclosed that he purchases brown sugar from the petitioner and also disclosed his mobile number as recorded in the FIR.

4. Learned counsel appearing on behalf of the petitioner submits that petitioner has been falsely implicated in the instant case by the informant based on confessional statement of Ranjeet in police custody which does not have any evidentiary value.

5. Learned A.P.P. for the State Mrs. Dr. Indiwar Kumari opposes the prayer for anticipatory bail of the petitioner and submits that from Ranjeet 15.5 grams of brown sugar was recovered and his confessional statement the name of the petitioner transpired, it is fairly submitted that confessional statement does not have any evidentiary value, but then the disclosure made by Ranjeet requires to be corroborated for which interrogation of the petitioner is necessary. It is also submitted that if petitioner is innocent, the police after investigation will submit final form exonerating him of the allegation.

6. Considering the submissions made by the learned APP for the State, the Court is not inclined to extend the privilege of anticipatory bail to the petitioner.

WEB COPY

7. Accordingly, the instant anticipatory nbail application stands rejected.

(Satyavrat Verma, J)

Nitesh/-

U		T	

WEB COPY