

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
CRIMINAL MISCELLANEOUS No.81871 of 2025

Arising Out of PS. Case No.-679 Year-2024 Thana- CHAPRA TOWN District- Saran

1. Prakash Sourabh @ Saurabh @ Kalu S/o Sanjay Kumar Verma R/o 191 Kha, Ward No 40, Waidhan, DAV Road Waidhan Sigraulti Waidhan, PS-Waidhan, District- Singrauli, Madhya Pradesh
2. Praharsh Verma @ Chotu @ Prakash Verma @ Praharsh S/o Mritunjay Kumar Verma @ Dablu Shrivastava R/o Veena Pustak Gali Verma Niwas, Salempur, Chapra, P.S.- Town, Distt.- Saran, Bihar
3. Mritunjay Kumar Verma @ Dablu Shrivastava @ Doblu Shrivastwa S/o Surendra Kumar Verma R/o Veena Pustak Gali Verma Niwas, Salempur, Chapra, P.S.- Town, Distt.- Saran, Bihar
4. Sanjay Kumar Verma @ R Srivastava S/o Surendra Kumar Verma R/o 293, Flat no. 5, Nawada Village, Bal Sewa Organisation, Uttam Nagar Matiala, P.S.- Uttam Nagar, Distt.- West Delhi

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

The State of Bihar

... .. Opposite Party/s

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s : Mr. Rounak Sinha
For the Opposite Party/s : Dr. Indihar Kumari

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE S. B. PD. SINGH
ORAL ORDER

3 11-02-2026 Heard learned counsel for the petitioners and learned APP for the State.

2. The petitioners are apprehending their arrest in connection with Chapra Town P.S. Case No. 679 of 2024 for the offence under Sections 126(2), 115(2), 316(2), 318(4), 324(2), 352 and 351(2) of the BNS.

3. As per the prosecution story, the informant has alleged that the accused persons induced the informant to invest a sum of Rs. 4,00,000/- on the pretext of a profitable business



venture. In order to gain his confidence, they executed an agreement and issued a cheque, which were subsequently found to be false and issued without any intention of repayment. After obtaining the said amount, the accused persons started evading the informant and ultimately refused to return the money.

4. Learned counsel for the petitioners submits that the petitioners are innocent and have been falsely implicated in the present case. It is further contended that, upon perusal of the F.I.R., no specific date has been mentioned with regard to the alleged agreement or issuance of the alleged false cheque. The date of occurrence is stated as 13.11.2024, whereas the F.I.R. was lodged on 16.11.2024, and no explanation for the said delay has been provided in the complaint. Learned counsel further submits that a sum of Rs. 4,00,000/- has already been paid by the petitioner, and in support of this contention, a transaction inquiry report has been annexed as Annexure-P/3 to the bail application. Moreover, this is purely a civil/monetary dispute. A statement has been made in para 3 of the bail application that petitioner has clean antecedent.

5. Learned APP opposes the prayer for bail.

6. Keeping in view the aforesaid facts, this Court is inclined to extend them the privilege of anticipatory bail.



7. Let the petitioners be released on bail in the event of arrest or surrender within a period of four weeks from the date of receipt of this order, on furnishing bail bond of Rs. 10,000/- (Ten thousand) each with two sureties of like amount each to the satisfaction of learned District and Additional Sessions Judge-IV, Saran, in connection with Chapra Town P.S. Case No. 679 of 2024 subject to the conditions as laid down under Section 482 of B.N.S.S., as also with the condition that one of the bailors should be close relative of the petitioners.

(S. B. Pd. Singh, J)

Ankit Kumar/-

U		T	
---	--	---	--

