

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
CRIMINAL MISCELLANEOUS No.78510 of 2025

Arising Out of PS. Case No.-285 Year-2019 Thana- BAKHTIYARPUR District- Patna

Suraj Kumar Singh @ Maihar Kumar Singh Son of Ramashray Singh
Resident of Village - Darogabigha, Police Station - Deep Nagar, District -
Nalanda.

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

The State of Bihar

... .. Opposite Party/s

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s : Mr.Rabindra Prasad Singh

For the Opposite Party/s : Mr.Chandra Bhushan Prasad- A.P.P.

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE SATYAVRAT VERMA
ORAL ORDER

3 16-02-2026 1. Heard learned counsel for the petitioner and
learned APP for the State.

2. The petitioner seeks bail in Bakhitiyarpur P. S.
Case No. 285 of 2019 registered for the offences punishable
under Section 395 of the Indian Penal Code.

3. The learned counsel for the petitioner submits that
the petitioner has antecedent of six cases and allegation is of
committing road robbery. The informant alleges that he was
sleeping in his truck, when all of a sudden, the unknown
accused persons dragged him out of the vehicle and assaulted
him by blows and punches and also robbed the informant of his
purse containing Rs.3,500/- and an ATM Card.

4. The learned counsel for the petitioner submits that



FIR was against unknown and the name of the petitioner transpired in the confessional statement of apprehended accused in police custody, which does not have any evidentiary value. It is also submitted that Binit Kumar @ Harsh Raj Anand had approached this Court seeking regular bail by filing Cr. Misc. No.69238 of 2025 and the same came to be allowed by an order dated 05.02.2026 passed by a learned Coordinate Bench, thus based on parity, the learned counsel seeks regular bail.

5. Learned A.P.P. Sri Chandra Bhushan Prasad vehemently opposes the regular bail application and submits that petitioner has antecedent of six cases and in the event, if petitioner is released on bail, the petitioner may abscond, on which the learned counsel appearing on behalf of the petitioner submits that petitioner will not abscond rather will face the trial.

6. On query of the Court whether charges have been framed or not, the learned counsel appearing on behalf of the petitioner fairly submits that charges till date have not been framed.

7. After hearing the learned counsel for the parties, the Court is not inclined to release the petitioner on bail taking into consideration his criminal antecedents, but then, petitioner would be at liberty to renew his prayer for bail after framing of



charge.

8. The prayer of the petitioner for regular bail stands
rejected with the liberty aforesaid.

(Satyavrat Verma, J)

vikash/-

U		T	
---	--	---	--

